THE ON-GOING WAR OF THE WORLD
HUMANOID vs INSECTOID

Social Philosophy

BOTH HAVE THE SAME HOMOSAPIEN BODIES!

While the first type adores Spiritual Liberation and Freedom, the second type adores comfort ability and enjoys adopting insect spirituality or wants to manipulate all the people on this planet to adopt insect spirituality.

– On which side are you?

Wirun Punpocha
THE ON-GOING WAR OF THE WORLD

HUMANOID vs INSECTOID

Social Philosophy
“Make today the precious gift to yourself and this world by liberating your own spirit and unmasking those cheaters who enslave all human souls.”

Anonymous
“It’s a quantum leap between nearly completion and completion.”

Anonymous
“When a light appears what we can see around us is ‘the truth’ which is not necessarily always a beautiful thing.”

Anonymous
“To doubt the things that shouldn’t be doubted,
Do not doubt the things that should be doubted,
That is the biggest problem of Humanity.”

“We should not doubt ‘how does this thing happen?’,
since it has no final answer.
Instead, we should doubt ‘why does this thing happen?’
since it has the final answer.”

“Lacking of morality is the final answer to many grave complex problems that humanity has to face nowadays, while scientific and/or political explanations are only partially true and not the final answers (primal causes).”

“Smart people know how it happens. Anyway the people who do not know how it happens but know why it happens, I think, are smarter!”

Anonymous
“Even though in reality, it’s the obscurity or uncertainty that rules over all things that exist, dictators use the belief in exactness or cocksureness to rule over all their followers.”

Anonymous
“Sometimes, to know the question is much more difficult than to know the answer and, unfortunately, that type of questions is usually critically important to our lives.”

“One of the all-time biggest questions in our lives which only very few people seem to know is ‘What truth should we know in order to form our whole-life beneficial attitude?’.”

Anonymous
“The ideal condition is not the target that we can practically achieve, but it is used to help direct our actions.”

Anonymous
“Those who strongly obey the social norms because they are the winners in the society (the well-off minority) who enjoy taking advantage of the society and adore comfort ability without doing hard/dirty works themselves are drone-like ‘Insectoids’.

“Those who unthinkingly and enthusiastically follow the social norms for the sake of their own survival and because of the entrenched education and brain-washing media are worker or soldier ‘Insectoids’.

“Those who try to manipulate the people to be anything other than having their own Spiritual and living independency are the master ‘Insectoids’ who want to be the queen of the nest.”

Anonymous
“There are 3 types of people:

The first are those who non-biasedly judge themselves whether they are doing right or wrong.

The second are those who self-deludedly judge themselves whether they are doing right or wrong.

And the last are those who are never interested in judging themselves whether they are doing right or wrong.

Only those who judge themselves non-biasedly can stop doing wrong (i.e. hurting the society) and improve themselves."

“The cheater is the one who shows off the world only the better-side truth of him/herself and hides his/her worse-side truth.”

“If we tolerate something that is wrong (irrational, not quite justice) from the beginning, then we cannot avoid facing its worse (unpleasant, causing a lot of troubles) middle and the worst (highly chaotic, mayhem) ending.”

Anonymous
“Usually it is unavoidable to accept someone who has some moral deficit to be the ruling leader of a country for some period of time, but not as the all time spiritual leader who has the most reverent position in the country for all of that person’s life. If this does so happen, that country will surely be totally ruined when some long day ends.”

“It is hardly possible to get consensus (mutual agreement) from the rule not written by consensus. So, it is hardly possible to find peace in a democratic country governed by laws (i.e. the constitution) written in time of dictatorial governments.” (Even though so simple it may sound, the people in some country have never learnt this fact for at least 3 generations!)

Anonymous
“Except for those who are egoless (Arahants), anyone who keeps on enjoying being exaggeratedly praised and fame from the public will finally turn to be evil. ”

“Those who enjoy fame or being exaggeratedly praised are blame-worthy, but

Those who exaggeratedly praise the blame-worthy are more blame-worthy. ”

“If we still think that somebody(i.e. The Buddha, Jesus, a religion founder, God, the king, the queen, the president, the prime minister, the leader of the country, etc.)’s unique self-awareness is special to ours (i.e. considering the uniqueness and the unique continuity properties, no exclusion from the Law of Karma, etc.) then it indicates that we (at least unconsciously) still want to be, or think that we are, special to other people. ”

Anonymous
Only 4 things that women cannot be:

1) Summa Buddhas.
2) Turning-Wheel Monarchs.
3) Kings of Maras.
4) Sakkas (Heads of Tavatimsa heavens).

The Buddha told

Only 4 things that (hu) man cannot do/be:

1) Get pregnant.
2) Give milk from their breasts to babies.
3) The queens of the nests.
4) The best sexual teasers.

Me think
"The weapons that the King of Mara uses to win the heart of all the people, making them his servants, besides propaganda through the unavoidable omni-present media and compulsory education, is to give security (from being bullied by him or his brain-washed servants), comfort ability (use the country’s budget and exploiting the natural resource), abundant pleasure, great honor and fame (from himself and/or his servants). If not successful yet, he will use intimidation and/or beautiful/handsome decoy lovers. If not successful yet, he will use his controlled media to make us appear to be his servants and/or bad people."

Widely-known evil techniques of a dictator
“Let’s forget about the past, nowadays all the people on this planet should better think that we all have:

Only one nation, which is the ‘Human Nation’.

Only one religion, which is ‘Be Rational’, ‘No Greed’ ‘Nonviolence’ and ‘Having Sexual Moral as the First Priority’.

The same leader, who is ‘One’s Own Self’.

The same love, which is ‘Freedom’ and ‘Peace’.

The same culture which is ‘Gratitude to the Natural Environment’, ‘Not Haughty’ and ‘Considerateness’.

The same language, which is ‘Sincerity’, ‘Mutual Respect’ and ‘Spiritualism’.

So those who still promote ‘Leader Idolatry’, ‘Patriotism’, ‘Military-ism’, ‘Pollution-bound Material-ism’, or ‘Religious forced Beliefs’ are disgusting idiots who are pulling this world down to suffering and apocalypses.”

Anonymous
PREFACE

The Buddha began his religion by the teaching of ‘the Middle Way to the Spiritual Salvation or Liberation’ to his only 5 followers (at that time), then he taught them to detach from ‘everything that exists’ in his second important discourse, ‘the Anatta Doctrine’, and finally, in his dying bed, 45 years later, he gave the last warning to all his students to “keep on striving diligently until reaching your own spiritual salvation (or liberation)”. So, being a Buddhist, I should not stop improving or educating myself until I reach my spiritual salvation or liberation. That is the reason why I have to give up my intention to write no more book (if the reader accepts that - this can be called a book!). However, in this moment, I do not know exactly what to write in details. Certainly, it should concern most of the topics I have presented to the reader previously all these years, i.e. multi-reality, spiritual liberation, the cause and cure of the deteriorating social, environmental and political problems (in my viewpoint) but in slightly different viewpoints or with different
examples from those previously presented. The main theme of this book, human on this earth is divided to be 2 types: ‘the humanoid’ (human-like) and ‘the insectoid’ (insect-like). Usually these two words are (to be correct, only the first is) used to describe the bodily appearance of a creature or a robot, but I take them for grant to use them to signify the spiritual appearances. This is also the first book I have ever written in English (if the articles in the website http://homospiritus.webs.com do not count). The embarrassing stupid grammatical or wording errors that the reader can guess the right meanings do not trouble me much. Instead, I try to minimize the grammatical or wording errors that will change the meanings of the statements to be different from what I intend them to be.

As usual, if this book gives some benefit to the reader I would like to give the credit to my late parents (Mrs. Amnuay & Mr. Thawin Punpocha) and the sincere and warm support from my brothers and sister, my nephews and especially my 6-year-old niece (Taeng-hom) who usually cheers up my spirit.
Also, I thank all the people of Thailand from whom my monthly pension is, the website [www.webs.com](http://www.webs.com) who has been harboring my website without charging me any fee for more than 2 years now, everybody in the rest of the world since the time of the recorded history who individually has contributed to the free knowledge to the public more or less, and finally but indispensably, this Mother Earth without whom no one can survive.

“May all humans on this planet have spiritual liberation, justice be in the society, peace be among nations, animals’ lives and rights be respected, and this Mother Earth (the natural environment) be respected and protected.”

Please receive my bow.

Wirun Punpocha

January 4, 2014

*Taeng-hom*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHAPTER</th>
<th>TOPIC</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SPIRITUAL SALVATION VS SPIRITUAL LIBERATION</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TRAITS OF INSECTOID VS TRAITS OF HUMANOID</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ABSOLUTE MONARCHY VS REPUBLIC</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CONTROLLED MEDIA</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CONTROLLED FALSE EDUCATION</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>EVER-GROWING INDISPENSIBLE MEDICARE</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MILITARY-ISM</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>GLOBALIZATION – THE FUTURE STATE OF THE WAR OF THE WORLD</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CONCLUSION</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Arch-Hero on Humanoid side (2602-2557 years ago)

“It is always good to be free both in our living and in our spirituality.”

The Buddha

[Unfortunately, since his death, many powerful insectoids (i.e. kings, rulers and heads of groups of monks) have subtly modified his teaching and used it to enslave both the people’s spirits and their ways of living instead of freeing them.]
He was born contemporary to the Buddha, but he taught quite opposite to what the Buddha taught, especially concerning ‘Attachment’. I do not know whether he taught anything about the ‘Spiritual Liberation’ or not but he did not show any interest to the belief in ‘Life after Death’. His teachings are about having moralities, do our duties in our family and in the society, follow traditions, obey laws and the authorities.
"Be the light (refuge) unto yourself, then you shall be one light in this world giving out your light (wisdom) to all the people."

What Jesus tried to tell his followers (me think)

I derive the above statement from the statement “If you know the truth, the truth will make you free.” in the gospel of John. Some might argue that by considering only the context around the place where this statement appears, it is not appropriate to make such a conclusion. I agree, but I have also considered other Jesus’ teachings that appear in all 4 gospels (i.e., “You are the light of this world.” in Matthew’s) including some so-called Gnostic gospels i.e. the gospel of Thomas, the gospel of Truth and the gospel of Judas.
Mohammad (570-632)

“No man has the right to rule but God (Righteousness) does. Never submit ourselves to Evilness.”

Fundamental Islamic philosophy – me think

No religion’s philosophy should be the cause of wars. But usually religions have been used by the rulers of every country to control their population and make them willing to kill some ones they not even know their names [no need to ask whether they know that those (going to be killed) people are really evil]. So many religions have turned to be imperial religions after the death of the founders, which support the rulers of states for waging wars or make them be worshipped by the people as zealously as their religious holy men.
Should these people be looked like the legendary Uttarakuru Land dwellers?

It looks like almost all of them have no sense of personal possessions whatsoever, except 4 of them. 2 are carrying ordinary wooden sticks; another 2 carrying a little bit too straight sticks (maybe it is the artist’s humor). It also looks possible that they did not have the sense of husband or wife. It looks like their lives had little or no competition. (Competition for what!? Wooden sticks!?) They are all looked happy and healthy. What else do humans want from an ideal society?

[If I do not misunderstand this picture shows the imagined condition of some humans who lived about 400,000 years ago in the mountains of Spain. (From ‘The Lost and Hidden connection between religions’ in the internet)]
Greedy shameless Spanish invaders destroyed the Inca civilization. (1532-1572)

“Why do, in real life, at the end, the worse guys usually win?”

I really doubt about it!

“Greed for wealth and power, and treason were not the things that the Old (western) World introduced to the New World (America), but were the things that the Old World made the New World give those qualities more appreciation.”

Anonymous

“When a household quarrel among themselves, no one in that household gets benefit, but the outsiders do.” *

Conventional wisdom

*[This thing is happening in Thailand now (January 2014)! Some half-mad-half-idiot politicians are destroying their own country’s tourist industry and many people in the country still join them!]*
Genocide of a Native North American tribe by the European settlers (1622-1924)

“No one knows how many times the history has been rewritten in such a way that the victim turned to be evil and the evil turned to be virtuous.”

“History cannot be remade but the ignorance of our ancestors’ wrong doings to the native dwellers should not be perpetuated so that we can put ourselves in the right place when we think of the virtue of our race and the justice that we should compensate to the descendants of those native dwellers. This kind of thing has happened everywhere on this earth, not only on the America continent.”

Anonymous
Jean Jacques Rousseau (1712-1778)

"Man is born free, yet everywhere he is in chains."

Rousseau was a Swiss thinker who had many different viewpoints from almost all his previous and contemporary thinkers about religions, politics, education and arts. He was neither a conventional theist nor an atheist. He did not admire both religious blind faith and rationalism. He believed that human is born with intrinsic noble nature (spirit) but is demeaned once grown up by the society, education and laws. He believed in the democratic society where everybody is socially equal and can take part in writing laws. Even though most of his books were banned the first time they appeared to the public, his idea about a democratic society had gain popularity in a short time and helped initiate the French Revolution not long after his death.

(Concluded from the article about Rousseau in ‘The Philosophy Book’ Dorling Kindersley Limited, printed in Singapore by Star Standard, February 2011, pp.154-159)
The Assaulting of Bastille prison - The (shameful) symbol of the beginning of the French Revolution (1789 – 1799)

French Revolution – When a humanoid aim was carried out by bunches of half-witted insectoids

"Those who want freedom should give freedom to others.

Those who want liberty should not suppress others.

Those who want equality should not think that he/she is special to others.

Those who want fraternity should be kind to others."

C'mon, you know this!

Even though the French Revolution was far from being called ‘successful’, it has helped France to be able to evolve to be a modern democratic republic nowadays which has been imitated by many countries.

"It is not ‘fear’ that changes people, but it is ‘wisdom’ that changes people.

So if we want to change people, give them wisdom, not fear."

Anonymous
Joseph Smith (1805-1844)

The founder of the Church of Latter-days Saints (Mormons)

“To ‘believe’, just ‘truth’ is enough, but

to ‘believe in’, ‘appreciation’ has to be added.

Anyway, appreciation is not necessarily logical and cannot be forced,

it has to be voluntarily accepted.”

Anonymous

Buddhism’s ‘Noble Truths’ is logical and could be accepted as a truth by anyone, but (I think) very few people appreciate the Buddha’s advice to let our unique self-awareness just extinguish while dying to end our suffering. On the contrary, even though the stories in the Mormon Bible are hard to be accepted as true by conventional history and religion standard, they create blissfulness (appreciation) when being read. I think it is a personal choice to choose either truth or appreciation to be the 1st priority in choosing his/her religion.
Charles Darwin (1809-1882)

“Ignorance more frequently begets confidence than does knowledge: it is those who know little, and not those who know much, who so positively assert that this or that problem will never be solved by science.”

“It is not the strongest of the species that survives, nor the most intelligent that survives. It is the one that is the most adaptable to change.”

“False facts are highly injurious to the progress of science, for they often endure long; but false views, if supported by some evidence, do little harm, for everyone takes a salutary pleasure in proving their falseness.”

“In the long history of humankind (and animal kind, too) those who learned to collaborate and improvise most effectively have prevailed.”

“A moral being is one who is capable of reflecting on his past actions and their motives - of approving of some and disapproving of others.”

“If the misery of the poor be caused not by the laws of nature, but by our institutions, great is our sin.”

“The highest possible stage in moral culture is when we recognize that we ought to control our thoughts.”

All quotes from http://www.brainyquote.com
Hong Xiu Quan (1813 -1864)

A soft-minded scholar who turned to be fierce because of the disappointment of Chinese False Education

“It’s better to die than to live under the system that keeps failing us even though we have tried our best again and again.”

Anonymous

To be a successful Chinese scholar in the old days (to get a position in the government - maybe even today) one had to be highly competitive. Besides memorizing thousands of Chinese calligraphies and Confucius’ writings, the exams were subjectively scored by high-ranked imperial officers who are unlikely not to have any ideological and ethnic prejudice at all. Many students had studied from young to old age, not yet being able to pass the exam to be officers in the imperial government. Such thing happened to Hong Xie Quan. At age 37, after failing in the exam for the 3 rd time, he realized that he had lived under a false education and social system which he should help correcting it. Unfortunately, he resorted to the violent method which finally resulted in 10’s of millions of human demises including himself. Anyway, his idea about social reform, more or less, has been applied to China nowadays.
Tai Ping, the uprising of Chinese farmers against the imperial control that ended with colossus loss of human lives and hastened the further ending of the last Chinese dynasty (1850-1864)

Prior to the Tai Ping uprising, China had been facing many serious social, economic and political problems. The aristocrats and land-owners took too much advantage from ordinary people and farmers, the education system produced too many scholars just to serve the imperial government more than the available required positions, the imperial government incompetence to cope with the inside affairs and the invasion from European Colonist-seeker nations made the country in the perilous economic and social problems, and the dynasty people themselves were far too obsessed with self-delusion to see all the going-on panorama. Hong Xiu Quan led farmers to reform the society. Men and women should be treated equally. Polygamy should not be allowed. Old but stupid traditions should be abolished. Social classes should not exist. Everybody should respect one another as brother or sister (no ethnic preference). Occupation of the land should be equally-distributed to everybody. The rebel was very successful in the first 6 years. Then the heads of the rebel began to fight one another to be the only leader. Accompanied by the help from England and France, finally the imperial government crushed down the rebel completely which summarized with the loss of 20 - 30 million human lives counted from the beginning. (The above picture is the seizure of Nan Jing, the rebel’s short-lived capital)
Karl Marx (1818-1883)

"Philosophers have only interpreted the world in various ways; the point is to change it."

"The history of all hitherto existing society is the history of class struggles."

"From each according to his ability, to each according to his need"

Karl Marx was an idealist who believed in and devoted himself to social equality. He did not believe in spirituality and scorned religions as opium that the ruling class used for drugging their people to be in sweet-dream hallucination. He believed that we can use scientific method to understand, predict and (if we wish) control the behavior of the society. His idea is that the working class (commoners) should be allied with the powerful middle class to dissolve the ruling class. Then the working class (commoners) which are much more numerous than the middle class should de-power those powerful middle class when the politic and economic conditions are suitable which the working class can help prepare them. I think his works can be interpreted in a certain range from rational timely cooperative social reformations to violent bloodshed social revolutions, depending on those who want the change and those who have a lot of benefits from the old system.
Mahatma Gandhi (1869-1948)

[“His nonviolent fighting for the liberation of India from England was successful
but (looked like) not yet his nonviolent persuasion to use less detergent and gasoline.”]

“In the eyes of the foolish, truths appear as humiliation and imminent destruction as greatness.”

Anonymous

The day when the Bodhisatta was going to be enlightened, he dressed in the robe taken (and then washed) from a corpse of a slave woman, sat on a pile of Kusa grass given to him by a man named ‘Sodiya’, underneath a (the Bodhi) tree. Nobody paid attention to him. That night he achieved the highest spiritual achievement that any human or divine being can achieve that is to attain the ‘Summa Buddha-hood’. On the contrary, in the night of his dying, he dressed in one of the finest robes ever, surrounded by 500 monks and the great assembly of divine beings from 10,000 human-occupied earths.

It is not easy to live in an independent self-sufficient-but-humiliated humanoid life-style as Mahatma Gandhi has shown his fellow countrymen. But I think some of us have the potential to do it more or less in some circumstances (i.e. those who live in tropical climate, at home). We should not feel humiliated, but proud for having helped this Mother Earth from too much pollution.
Pol Pot, the Historical Cambodian Killing Field’s Mastermind

“For our false attitudes, nothing can do more harm to us than Good intention of idiot friends.”

Buddhism (From some Jataka stories)

Between 1976-1979 all the already-urbanized population of Phnom Penh was forced to move to the countryside to adopt Agrarian Socialism way of life which resulted in the death of 1-3 million people. “Pol Pot’s goal for the country was to have 70-80% of the farm mechanization completed within 5-10 years, build a modern industrial base off of the farm mechanization within 15-20 years, and to be a self-sufficient state.” [from Wikipedia] He said later that he grieved for the great number of loss of his countrymen but he was put in delusion by a few of his closed friends whom he trusted completely and lost in touch with the people (and the reality also – me think). Similar thing is also happening in Thailand (Dec. 2013), some influential politicians are trying to make the people neglect the essential fundamental spirit that bind the people together as one society (country) that is to have some respect to the rule written by mutual agreement (fair consensus), claiming that they intend for the benefit of all the people instead of breaking the society (country) down to pieces or making an apocalypse.
Pridi Banomyong (1900-1983)

His revolution for the Thai people’s liberation from the monarchy has begun more than 80 years ago already but not successful yet!

“Treason and back-stabbing only occur among those who think attachment is good.”

“To get rid of the whole bunch of your enemies, let them make wars to one another and make chaos in the society, you will finally be able to gain popularity as the only benevolent peace bringer dictator who is always on the same side with all the people.”

“The king of Mara cannot dominate our spirits unless we attach to something. So, in order to be able to rule over us, he always encourages us to attach to something which most of the time he uses ‘God’ or ‘Patriotism’.”

Even though Thailand has been supposed to be changed to a people-ruled state with the monarchy under the constitution by a revolution organized by Pridi Banomyong since July 1932, nowadays the political system and most of the people’s spirits are still strongly submissive to the monarchy not less than or probably more than while under the absolute Monarchy system. This occurs because many influential pro-dynasty people in the law-writing and
judiciary system, very wealthy businessmen (i.e. bankers) who have gotten the king’s consents to run their businesses, heads of Thai sect Buddhist monks (some was the king’s relative), high-rank military officers have been cooperated helping restitute the dynasty’s importance and power continually for more than 80 years. The influential pro-dynasty people in the politics, judiciary system and wealthy businessmen has formed a politic party, which later has won the strong favor from the population in the southern part of the country, which in time of democratic troubles is ready to give or help the country to be under control of a pro-dynasty military government. All military coup d’états which proclaimed to protect the king’s supreme authority had rarely failed and always received support from the people. A lot of corrections in the constitution and by product laws were written under these military-supported governments finally making the king the untouchable person and having real power to rule the country. These military-supported governments also have given the king the chance to act as ‘the God-owner of the Land’ by letting him exclusively manipulate the land and water resources ‘for the benefit of the whole people of Thailand’ as they help promote. Being a Thai citizen in Thailand nowadays everyone is unavoidably under the king’s influence overwhelmingly from birth until death 24-7. His pictures appear in any-valued coins and banknotes, wall-calendars, government offices (both inside and outside), schools, hospitals, banks, shops, shopping centers, factories, bus terminals, buses, alongside any street or road, passenger-boat terminals, passenger-boats, Buddhist monasteries, houses (in revering places for parent’s or holy people’s pictures), newspapers, magazines, books, text books. No one can avoid seeing or listening to something about him (most of the time – praising) via all kinds of electronic media (from the neighbors’ houses). His and his wife’s birth-day colored flags are planted in almost everywhere and year round. Students have to put on the uniform shirts which have the colors according to his and his wife’s birth day’s colors at least once a week and learn about his benevolence since primary grade school. If you are good and lucky enough to graduate from a university you will receive the diploma paper from his hands or his wife’s (I have to admit here that he is a very diligent guy! – anyway he has given this task to his children for more than 30 years now) or if you graduate to be a military or police officer you will receive a sword (used as a part of the uniform) from his hands (he still does) – this is how he is still able to make most learned young people and, especially, young military officers loyal to him and/or the dynasty (Moreover, all military and police officer cadets have been officially appointed to be his bodyguards). If you are a Buddhist and a university degree-earned government officer and die (hopefully long after retirement) you will receive (to be precise - your relative have the right to ask for you) a light from the royal cremation lighted candle which is considered very honorable. Or you can ask for the special royal courtesy for having this light for your parent cremation as well for free. All you have to do
is to declare the loyalty to him and the dynasty publicly in front of the crowd at the cremation. Recently this tradition has also expanded to embrace all Thai citizens who want to give honor to their parents. All they have to do is to give some money to some royal fund for the public welfare. Then they will be given some certified royal honor which can be used to ask for the special royal courtesy for having the light for their parent cremation. [I think this is a win-win enterprise. The people receive more merit having the chance to honor their parents and happy - he receives more loyalty from the people and money. This guy is quite a genius!)

However, what is wrong, whether against traditions, laws, or logic, usually means that that thing will cause problem(s) later if we do (that wrong thing) whether we intend or know or not. Being a decent Buddhist, no one should expect to receive the kingly (monarch-like) respect from the people (especially monks) in the present of the Buddha while the Buddha is still alive or in a monastery nowadays while the Buddha has passed away. Also no layman person should make the public worship him/her as holy as a deity or a priest who practices chastity. And no one should make the public worship him/her more than the people’s own parents. If there are such things in a society, that society will be sure to keep on degenerating morally. And I daresay that I have witnessed a lot of drastic moral degeneration in the Thai society since I was a child. Moreover, referring to everybody’s commonsense – no one is the owner of the land. We all have been born on this land (earth) not long time ago and we all will definitely die out soon, the same as all other animal species that were born on this earth together with us. So there should be some certain limit that we can do to the land even though we might be the legitimated owners according to human Laws. Ideally, we have no right to modify it until it is impossible for other native species to live or live with too much difficulty. Moreover the changes in the natural surrounding in one piece of land uncontrollably affect the natural surroundings in the surrounding areas further away both positively and negatively. So even the best well-planned land or water resource development project will definitely has some considerable bad effects (i.e. risk) to some people who live far from the area of the project. So usually big land or water resource development projects require many people who live in those area or far away down (or up) the stream to desert their living places or subject to some possible grave danger – that is to make a sacrifice. The rule is that - not even a person but even all of the people cannot force (or cheat) someone to make a sacrifice; it has to be voluntary. So the decision to make a big land or water resource development project should receive the consent of all the people in that area who have to sacrifice and the people far away but are likely to receive the considerable negative effect. It is inappropriate and should-be unlawful to make it under a decision of a single person. [The Mulholland’s case in Los Angeles (I watched it from a VDO from Discovery Channel) can be used as a good example.]
Furthermore, the king has no right to control whether the parliament (i.e. dissolves the parliament or a politic party), or the government (i.e. influences the government policy, expels the prime minister) or the Supreme Court (i.e. choose the judges, intimidates the judges to judge according to his liking). It turns out during the past 60 years especially in the previous 20 years he has gradually increased his influence on these 3 sectors via the supports from pro-military governments and some of his influential people. The Judiciary power which is under control of that ‘his right-hand man’ who sides with his favored political party has finally been made to overrule the other 2 sectors via the establishment of the Constitutional Court and the Ruling Court. Finally, it is only the Judiciary power that is the highest power ruling this country, which does not come from the people and, handsomely, is disguisedly and indirectly under controlled of the king. (The other portion of the Judiciary power is controlled by his right-hand man) Anyway, being in the favorable side for a long time, some influential politicians of his favored political party have turned to be like spoiled children and with the intention to weaken their competitors, they have resorted to unjust and unlawful methods which were precariously likely to bring a politic calamity and undermining the country. Instead of giving a warning to those favored politicians to stop doing such things, he did vice versa. Finally a politic calamity and a serious chaos happened. Ironically, that supposed to be destroyed opponent came back to be the government again. Only 2 years passed by then that unpunished forgetful childishly-spoiled politicians started a riot again. This time the situation is worse than the previous. It has turned out that right now nobody has the total control of the country. Worse than that, no single one person receives respect from all of the people as before. The only way out of this darkness that I can see is that everybody should stop worshiping any person as a political savior. We (Thai people) should respect the basic universal mutually-agreed rules first, before we can do something further. We should learn how to live together with equal mutual respect and justice - something we should have started learning since 1932 but have not.
"The root of Evil is 'Ignorance' one of which is the desire of the thing which is beyond our capacity to receive—the one which is the most important is the 'Spiritual Salvation'."

Buddhism (as I believe)

"All Insectoids long for the Spiritual Salvation, while the Humanoids realize that the Spiritual salvation is beyond our capacity to receive so they long only for the Spiritual Liberation which can be obtained by their own selves in any life's condition and in this very moment."

"If the thing that we wish can never be obtained here and now (Spiritual Salvation), where and when can we expect to obtain it?"

"How can we obtain our spiritual liberation by rendering ourselves to be spiritually dependent on somebody (either divine or human)?"

"We can obtain our Spiritual Liberation right away when we make our own selves our ultimate spiritual refuge instead of the Buddha or God or somebody else other than ourselves."

Anonymous

By definition,

The Spiritual Salvation is the state that we can get away from all kinds of troubles whether mentally or physically (since physical affliction causes mental affliction) forever.

The Spiritual Liberation is the state that our minds are out of the influence of greed, lust, anger, passion, frightful feeling of losing life, feeling (proud) of 'self-important', feeling (fear or worrying) of being
intimidated by anyone (human or divine), feeling (bliss) of worshiping anyone (human or divine), worrying about tomorrow, desire for eternal happiness, desire for the eternal extinguishment of the unique self-awareness, desire for life (do not want to die or want to be born again) and desire for death (want to commit suicide).

The Spiritual Salvation is the thing that no one can ever prove that it exists or not, at least, since it concerns about eternity. From most of the conventional religious beliefs (except Buddhism and Jainism), we cannot attain it by ourselves; it has to be given to us by God. Usually, no one intend to have it in this very life and especially ‘now’. And if that one really has it now, he/she still cannot prove to his/her own self that it really is the spiritual salvation that he/she is feeling and it will last eternally.

On the contrary, the Spiritual Liberation is the thing that only we, ourselves, will know when we have it. It is one of the things that only we can give to ourselves. It is the thing that we can prove (realize) by ourselves (whether we have it or not). It is the thing that we can obtain in this very life, especially, ‘now!’ It has nothing to do with grace, faith, hope, blissfulness, tomorrow or eternity! However, it does not guarantee that once we have it, we will no more have physical affliction, earning our living problems, social problems, poverty, etc.; but it implies that our minds will be able to cope with all afflictions that befall us.

The lives of many great religious founders such as MahaVira (Jainism), the Buddha and Jesus (if his word ‘God’ or ‘father’ means ‘morality’ or ‘truth’ or ‘justice’ or ‘all that exist’) show that they all definitely have the Spiritual Liberation not the Spiritual Salvation!

**The Evolution of Human Beliefs in the Realms of Reality and the Spiritual Salvation**

According to the notice I have given earlier on the last page (page 10) of the website [http://homospiritus.webs.com](http://homospiritus.webs.com) that Nature tends to imitate itself to be in the same pattern from small scale to larger scale both space-wisely and time-wisely, both materially and non-materially. Some western biologist (Pardon me, I forget his name right now.) has proposed a theory that the stages of a human embryo in the
womb imitate the states of human evolution through millions of years outside the womb. Like-wisely, I believe that human spiritual evolution or the evolution of human consciousness or the evolution of human beliefs in global time scale imitates the development of a person’s spirituality or consciousness or beliefs from birth till old age averagely but with some complexity. If we regard ourselves as a kind of animals, then the evolution of our bodies and spirits should be comparable to the evolution of the bodies and spirits of all other kinds of animals. If we take into consideration only 2 types of animals: humans and insects, humans are more likely to be accepted as more spiritually developed than insects (Anyway, I have to admit that this is debatable!). So, looking in the global scale and according to Darwin’s evolution hypothesis, human should adopt insect spiritualities since the first time they appeared on earth. Then, through a long period of time they have evolved to have (perfect or complete set of) human spiritualities. What are those insect spiritualities? I think they are the little sense of ego (individuality) or self-reflection, strictly follow the social (also corporation, company, clan, race, nation) status and norms (feel strongly ashamed or guilty or fear if not obey), loyal to one highest all-benevolent leader – so no strong objection to wars or military-ism, put little respect to the nuclear family – so little respect to father or mother or sexual morality, little inter-individual morality – so little respect to the belief in heaven and hell or other realities (i.e. dreaming, dreamless sleep, humans on other planets, etc.) and little respect to equality among individuals or social justice, while (perfect) human spiritualities are all vice versa. [Anyway, I have to restate here that, in general, I, myself, do not believe in Darwin’s hypothesis about the evolution of human species.] I think colonies of so-called low class animals, i.e. bacteria (including zombies in the movie ‘World War Z’ as well), usually exhibit insect spiritualities more than (perfect) human spiritualities.

So according to the above paragraph, we should begin our life with insect spiritualities such as the strong awareness and obeisance of social status and loyal to one single political or religious leader, having no or little self-reflection. But in reality, I think, many of the readers could reflect upon our own selves since we
were very young. This, I believe, is due to the fact that our unique self-awareness’s are mostly the continuation of some highly developed unique self-awareness’s in (our) previous lives. But some of us have been born in low-spirituality societies (countries) where the insect spiritualities are entrenched to all the people since very young ages, so some of us might have lost some of their noble (human) innate spiritualities that they used to have (in their previous lives) when grown up in those brain-washing environments.

We all (our unique self-awareness’s) are born to this world (life) of 3 equally-important realities which are the reality of the awakening state, the reality of the dream state and the reality of dreamless-sleep state. In the awaken state we are occupied by 3 drives which are fear, hunger and sexual desire (which includes the ego drive – the want to get attention from others, dominate others). These drives are important for the survival of our lives since in our awaken state we have to work and/or compete with others. While in the dream state, we are only occupied by 3 feelings which are pleasure, fear and neither-pleasure-nor-fear. We are supposed to only enjoy (or suffer) our dream state, usually cannot make use of it for anything else. And the last but not least important reality is the dreamless-sleep state which, I think, even though we will never have the consciousness while experiencing it, is vitally important to both our physical and mental health.

Since the dawn of humanity, humans have known that to survive or to compete to survive in our awaken reality is suffering, our dream state is rather unimportant since we usually cannot remember it when waking up and we can do nothing while in our dreamless-sleep state. So the ideal condition that humans want to attain concerns only to get rid of or reduce those hardships in their awaken states which is called ‘the Salvation’. Except Buddhism, there are 2 schools about this idea. The first school is to assign the top reality to some not provable dream-like reality which is called ‘Heaven’. Plato’s ‘World of Forms’ is the first idea of this kind in the west which was then followed by almost all important conventional religions nowadays. The other school assigns the top reality to the awaken state and try to find the way to obtain the ideal
society. Confucianism is the first well-known school of this kind in the East. In the West, Aristotle’s philosophy is the first of this kind, followed by Socialism and Communism philosophies nowadays. While Buddhism’s highest teaching teaches that we cannot always avoid hardship or suffering in our awaken state, any dream-like state (if it ever exists) cannot be used as the sanctuary since we cannot stay there forever, the only way to get rid of suffering for good (obtain the ‘salvation’) is to reach the state of non-existence (The extinction of our unique-self awareness or consciousness) for good – which sounds very reasonable but disliked by almost all the people and not-provable. Anyway, if we reduce the ideality a little bit to obtain the benefit at the level that we have the capacity to receive (which is not ‘forever’, but ‘as long as we can remember’ and ‘now’), Buddhism introduces a different philosophy to an ‘obtainable Salvation’ of an individual and for a society. Instead of choosing any one of these 3 states of our consciousness as the top reality, Buddha suggested that we should regard all of them as delusion or Maya. In other word, we should not attach (strongly) to the awaken reality (this world), or any dream-like reality (heaven), or even the dreamless-sleep reality (the extinguishment of our unique self-awareness).

To give the top priority to the believed dream-like reality or non-existence reality (Nibbana) like the followers of many conventional religions do might make some of us face some difficulties trying to make ends meet or neglect to solve many essential immediate survival problems (i.e. social, politic, environmental problems). While giving the top priority to the awaken-state reality, like Socialism or Communism’s philosophy does, might finally make us live under a military and materialistic dictatorial government (explicitly or disguisedly). While not putting too much emphasis neither on the awaken reality nor the dream-like reality nor the extinguishment of our unique self-awareness may make us be able to manage our livings with decent physical comfort and liberated spirits in a decent justice and eco-friendly society which is call ‘our Salvation’ or ‘our Liberation’.
A Buddhism’s legendary place where the Spiritual Liberation exists

“Dear monks!

Tavatimsa devas excel humans in Champu dvippa (India) and humans in Uttarakuru dvippa by 3 qualities, which are (1) divine beauty of skin color, (2) divine happiness, and (3) long divine life-span (36 million years).

Humans in Champu dvippa (India) excel Tavatimsa devas and humans in Uttarakuru dvippa by 3 qualities, which are (1) having higher level of self-awareness, (2) ability to practice chastity to the maximum level, and (3) having more endurance to hard works and hardships.

Humans in Uttarakuru dvippa excel Tavatimsa devas and humans in Champu dvippa (India) by 3 qualities, which are (1) having no sense of ownership, (2) no untimely death (all die at age 1,000 years), and (3) once die they all go to Tavatimsa heaven.”

The Buddha said

Uttarakuru dvippa literally means the land that situates in the north of Kuru County (which is New Delhi nowadays). So humans who live(d) in Uttarakuru dvippa should be some Himalayan tribe, or some Chinese tribe in Xin-Giang County, or some Russian tribe in the Great Steppes Plain or across the north pole to be the North America continent dwellers. One thing which is the most likely is that those humans should be quite different from any known human tribe nowadays. There is a noticeable thing about this Uttarakuru stuff, the Buddha said about it in his own words with very few details in very few places in the Tri Pitaka. Most detailed stories are from Atthakathā (literally means ‘the Explanation of the Meaning’) which were told by some monks other than the Buddha. The place and the time where and when the human in
Uttarakuru dvippa exist cannot be definitely determined from the Buddha’s words which, I think, they can be on this planet somewhere, sometime or on some other planet somewhere, sometime. The possible facts derived from *Atthakathā* are that humans in Uttarakuru dvippa have bigger and more beautiful (healthy) bodies than the present day humans (to be precise - Indian in the Buddha’s time), the colors of their skin are not too dark or too white, they have no sense of ownership whatsoever even the sense of having a wife or a husband – so there is no economic or social class. All adults will help taking care of children since babies. There is no competition among them in earning a living. The land they live is not too hot, too cold, too arid, too humid, too barren or a dense jungle. Considering what the Buddha said that they have no untimely death and they all go to Tavatimsa heaven once die, they should be non-violent, usually willing to help one another, rarely obsessed with sexual desire, and most likely vegetarians.

**The meaning of ‘Forever’ is ‘Now’ and ‘As long as we can remember’**

“If one seeks to find something that cannot be seen (or heard or smelt or tasted or touched or felt by the mind) neglecting the thing that can be seen (or heard or smelt or tasted or touched or felt by the mind), I say that that person will keep on seeking forever without finding it.”

*A saying of the Bodhisatta in Vikhatijcha Jataka*

The above saying can be simply restated that “We cannot find the thing that cannot be found or experience the thing that cannot be experienced. So we should change our minds to only find the thing that can be found, experience the thing that can be experienced instead.” Logically the 100% cocksure Spiritual Salvation is something we can never find or experience since we have limited life and memory. So, we should change our aim to the Spiritual Liberation. Anyway, there are some things about the Spiritual Liberation that we should keep in mind. Those who attain the Spiritual Liberation do not necessarily have
any supernormal psychic power, or appear to be very knowledgeable in worldly topics or be in the state of bliss all the time. They can still face a lot of hardships but without any upset minds.

There was a monk in the Buddha’s time who was the disciple of Sariputtra, the Buddha’s right-side disciple, who had never been able to get a full meal for all of his life. Sariputtra met him as a neglected 7-year old child finding grains of cooked rice in the place where people washed dishes. He was moved by the child’s desperate condition and brought him to the temple; ordained him to be a novice. When the child was 20, Sariputtra made him a monk named ‘Losakatissa’. Losakatissa finally attained the full enlightenment, became an arahant. Nevertheless, he never got enough begged food to satisfy his hunger. When someone put only a few spoons of rice in his bowl, it appeared to other people that his bowl was already full of rice. In his last day, Sariputtra wanted him to get at least one full meal before dying. He let Losakatissa sit down and eat some sweets in the bowl which he held by his hands while standing near Losakatissa. If Sariputtra had not done in that manner all the sweets in his bowl would have disappeared. Then Losakatissa died and cremated. The Buddha told the monks to put his relics to a pagoda for worshipping since he was an arahant. Many monks came to ask the Buddha that why, even though Losakatissa was an arahant, he was so unlucky about food all his life. The Buddha told Losakatissa’s karma in his previous life to the monks as follows:

In the time of the Kassapa summa Buddha, Losakatissa was the abbot of a monastery whom was always taken good care of by the layman who had built that monastery. One day an arahant came to that monastery to spend a night. The layman was impressed by the arahant’s controlled mannerism and showed high respect to the arahant. The abbot was displeased to see that but kept calm. In the following morning, the abbot went to the layman house begging for food without waking up the arahant. After the laymen gave the abbot the meal he put some food in the abbot’s bowl to bring back to that arahant. Thinking that the over-night stay monk would not leave the monastery if having such good food, he threw all the food in a fire in a passing-by
field. That arahant knew all that the abbot had done, left the monastery at once, not wanting to hurt the abbot more than that. When the abbot arrived at the monastery and found out that the over-night guest monk had left for good, he turned to be very sorry thinking that that monk must have been an arahant and knew all what he had thought and done. The abbot was so sorry that he no more wanted to nourish himself; he became skinnier and skinnier and died. After that he has been born only in the high-suffering realms of existence such as hells, phantoms, animals. In the lives of phantoms and animals he hardly had a full meal (sometime only once before dying). Anyway, because he had practiced Buddhism as a monk in the time of the Kassapa summa Buddha, he was able to attain the full enlightenment finally.

I think this story tells us many crucial facts that most people overlook. The most important fact is that the state of being spiritually liberated has nothing to do with blissfulness or happiness in worldly sense. It is only the state that we can control our mind not to be upset whatever hardship, misfortune, danger, disappointment, physical infliction, mental infliction, hunger, humiliation or any kind of suffering we have so that we can keep our morality as best as our lives without wanting any reward (i.e. be born in heaven or Nibbana). Secondly, the primal cause of scarcity turns to be greed or jealousy. And thirdly, the thing that most of the time causes jealousy is the want to be the most respectful person in the community.

"Thinking that our unique self-awareness is superior to others' breeds the feeling of Self-importance. In turn, the feeling of Self-importance breeds 'Jealousy'. And, according to one of the Buddha's sayings, 'jealousy destroys the world'. So, if we still think that our unique self-awareness is superior to others', then we still have a chance to be the one who destroys this world which includes ourselves as well."

"Unlike 'Spiritual Salvation', 'Spiritual Liberation' has nothing to do with 'Hope' or 'Faith' and is possible to obtain in this very moment without any help from other people, so, undoubtedly, it is never promoted or appreciated by any ego-maniac person who wants to control all the people's spirits."

Anonymous
Conclusion

1. The Spiritual Salvation which is the state that our unique self-awareness will be in heaven forever or not be born again forever is never be able to be proven, be sure to be able to obtain here and now, and in the mono-theism belief we have to ask for God to obtain it; unlike the state of the Spiritual Liberation which is the state that our mind can cut loose from the dominance of any person, whether divine or human, desire for anything, fear of death, all kind of physical and mental affliction. It is the state that only we can give to ourselves here and now. So, rationally, we should abandon the aim to have the Spiritual Salvation but set our aim to attain the Spiritual Liberation instead.

2. Evil people have used the belief in the Spiritual Salvation to take advantage of the believers or persuade them to commit many evil things (i.e. waging wars) all along in human history until nowadays.

3. Absolute monarchy, Leader idolatry, Military-ism, uncontrolled Capitalism and Imperialism in the countries where most of the population have some religious faiths are all the results of the people’s belief in the Spiritual Salvation. So the governments of this kind of countries usually help promoting the belief in the Spiritual Salvation so that they can manipulate the people to do idiotic things for them.

4. Many societies in which we were born nowadays have entrenched us with insect spiritualities which are the strong awareness and obeisance of social status and loyal to one single political or religious leader and having no or little self-reflection. Furthermore, I believe that most of us have also been entrenched with the belief in the Spiritual Salvation (either kind—eternal heaven or eternal Nibbana) which can be considered as an insect spirituality since it help to control people.

5. It is unlikely that we can solve our own (spiritual) problems together with the social problems if we attach too much (have too strong faith) in any kind of religious Spiritual Salvation, but not the Spiritual Liberation.
6. The real places on Earth where most of the population attain the Spiritual Liberation should be rare to find, but it is mentioned that there is (or was) such a utopian place in Buddhism’s literature which is called the ‘Uttarakuru Land’. Whether it is really exist or no does not matter; the essence of the story is that Buddhists believe that people can live a happy life in a utopian society where all population have full morality and no sense of ownership.

7. Spiritual Liberation does not imply blissfulness or happiness, but self-dependence and extreme patience.
CHAPTER 2  TRAITS OF INSECTOID VS TRAITS OF HUMANOID

“I cannot persuade myself that a beneficent and omnipotent God would have
designedly created parasitic wasps with the express intention of their feeding within
the living bodies of Caterpillars.”

“Man tends to increase at a greater rate than his means of subsistence.”

Charles Darwin

“Birds of the same feathers flock together.”

A western saying (I have learnt this from Nancy Drew game in the Waverly Academy episode.)

“To be a beast, a human or a divine being is judged
by that person’s spirit and deeds not by his/her appearance.”

Conventional wisdom

“Even though in general no human can live independently, Spiritual -independence is
the most important trait that differentiate a humanoid from an insectoid”

“Individualism is the trait of the humanoid.

Socialism is the trait of the insectoid.

Human needs both traits:

‘Individualism’ for his/her Spiritual Liberation.

‘Socialism’ for his/her survival.

Me think

“Usually the traits of a person come together as a regular combination set not as a
randomly-chosen set.”

(At least most astrologists should agree or else they should change their occupation!)
Friendly to the same group but can be evil to a different group

As Darwin’s quote in the beginning of this chapter, insect can be very hostile to some living beings without having been provoked by those living beings. We can see that this kind of behavior can include patriotism, racism, nationalism, strong social-class differentiationism. These things are usually used by politicians to gain support from the people in spiritually underdeveloped societies; unfortunately, it usually works.

Attach strongly to the given social status

It can be considered to be the same as the previous trait but I think it should be given more specific attention. Usually insects attach to their social status because they are naturally hard wired to be that way, but in a human society it is the social system and rules that make some people attach to their social status strongly like insects. Since some of them because of the social rules, they are the rulers or the few ones on top of the society who can more or less manipulate the society economically or politically. Some of them because of the social rules they are stronger or having more advantages in competitions against most of the rest. Some of them because they have no other choice for their own survival and because of the entrenched education, traditions, religious beliefs and omni-present media, they have (or brain-washed) to strongly obey or accept the social rules. Anyway this last type of people sometimes can be beguiled by some selfish politicians to make an uprising to break some social rules to destroy their political opponents. Since these people are the majority ‘losers’ in the society (considering the economic and/or social status) and usually are ready to blame any political
scapegoats for their life-long misfortunes. The thing that these politicians want to do is only to unruly replace the politicians who are the head of the social system to be them or those on their side but still maintain those causing-problems social rules so the people’s problems will not be solved once the politic change is made but are likely to get even worse. Even though so easily to see, many people in the society cannot see it since they are so blind by the public sentiment professionally created by these politicians accompanied with their allied media and judiciary persons.

**Strong Sexual attachment**

Divided sexual behaviors in an insect community have long been known. The duty of the queen of the nest is to have sex and lay a lot of eggs. The only duty of the male insect (drone) is to have sex with the queen and nothing else. The rest which is the majority of the nest are worker or soldier insects that have no reproduction organ so they have no sex live (how pitiful!). However in a human society, presumably everybody has a sexual organ, so the comparison with the worker insects is dropped out. Moreover, according to the previous trait, the insectoid (human who has insect-like behavior) usually have strong attachment to something such as social status, and I have formed a hypothesis from my own observation that those who have strong attachment in something are most likely to, at least, also attach to sexual desire. (Err… actually there is only one observation that I can make …that is… myself) The other thing that I can find that indicates that humans as a whole has the insect-like behavior of reproducing too many than they can feed their mouths – that is having (too)
strong sexual desire is Darwin’s second quote at the beginning of this chapter. So, I would like to conclude that generally, insectoids have very strong sexual attachment, while humanoids have lesser sexual attachment. (It, anyway, has nothing to do with sexual attractiveness!)

"Dangers await those who still attach to something.

Those who still love still have fear.

Those who have lust still shriek with fright when facing Death.

The ones who can get rid of lust, love and attachment will not fear when in any kind of dangers even facing 'Death'."

Teaching of the Buddha

Give low priority in preserving the natural surrounding

In real life I have witnessed the events which insects and worms destroy the plants that they use as their food and their habitats many times since young age. I have seen (from TV) herds of millions of locusts sometime destroy the fertility of the land they pass completely. I also have witnessed many humans destroying the natural surroundings in the more or less like manner as insects do so I would like to call them ‘insectoids’. Anyway, they are different from the real insects by the fact that while insects do that because it is unavoidable for their survival, these insectoids can avoid doing that kind of thing but they still do it because of greed and ignorance.
Create and follow public sentiment

Usually insects are mightier than other kind of animal because they can corporate to work in a large group for a single purpose, while most humans in any human society can hardly do that. In other words, it is difficult to control a human society to achieve something. Abraham Lincoln used to say something which had the meaning that if you can create public sentiment then you can control the society. So any human who would like to control the society usually try to create and control the public sentiment (that’s why nowadays some people are fighting to be the one who control the whole media business in the society). Although public sentiment can control the minds of the little-self-refection insect-like humans which usually are numerous, it does not work with high-conscious and self-reflective humanoids.

Adore Specialization

In an insect society every single member has a definite duty to do for the whole nest. Everybody has to do its job as efficiently as preserving its own life since no member can survive if living alone. In the old time humans could survive by mostly dependent on themselves either finding food, making shelters and clothes, protecting or curing themselves from sickness, etc. Once the human society has evolved till nowadays, hardly anyone can survive without any help from the society in every aspect of life. Even that there are 2 types of humans in a society; those who want to depend tightly to the society because of wanting security or comfort-ability, and those who still want more freedom from the society. The first type adores specialization in goods and services. They appreciate all kinds of labeling,
institutionalization, standardization, diplomas, certificates, insurances, guarantees, professionals, experts, etc. They give almost no credit to the individual capability or knowledge to survive. (For example if they want to eat some noodle soup from a trolley-cart shop near a street side they will choose the shop that is franchised not the one that is non-franchised.) They will not support or help anyone who tries to live independent of the society.

**Being Communicative**

I have said about bad things of insects or insect-like humans much enough, now I would like to say about their good traits. The first good trait is that insects are very communicative in their own group; usually there is no misunderstanding. [Anyway I have read some article or watched a documentary (I am not sure) which states or shows that sometimes the ants from a nest are killed by the soldier-ants from the same nest since they have soaked themselves in some smelly substance by accident so their siblings cannot remember them.] Ants and bees will gather to the food source very soon once one of their members find that food source. Anyway, unlike human communication, insect communication is honest and (I think) not tainted by prejudice and slandering.

**Sharing of knowledge to all the members in the group**

It is like the previous trait; only looking in a slightly different viewpoint. An individual insect does not keep the useful information to the whole group to itself but sharing it with the rest members of the nest (who are relevant to that knowledge). The very good news is that this
kind of thing is happening to humanity on this planet right now. With the advent of the internet and its easy, low cost to access and world widely distributed system now all humans on the whole planet turns to be one human community; we can share and receive the useful information to all the people in this world. It is the first time in the human history that all humans on this planet can create mutual global sentiment about environment protection, peace and social justice in a short period of time. Many complicated problems that have puzzled humanity in some parts of the world for hundreds or thousands of years have been quickly solved by various unknown thinkers from all other parts of the planet. Long-lasting misunderstandings among religions and faiths have been partially solved. And it is very likely that soon that all nations on this planet will make an agreement to abolish all armed forces and stock weapons, illegalize wars and abolish soldier occupation (change them to some nonviolent do-gooder for the society), no more gun, no more pointed tip knife (use the grinding machine to reshape it to a blunt tip knife).

I think we all have some insect-like traits more or less. But those who think that their unique self-awareness’s are special to others’ or believe strongly only in the Spiritual Salvation, or like to dominate other people, or do not like to think by themselves but follow all social norms or public sentiment without thinking should all be classified as ‘insectoids’ or else they are ‘humanoids’.
Conclusions

1. Insect-like humans can be very friendly to the members in their same groups but very hostile or feel no shame to take a lot of advantage from the humans from different groups.

2. Insect-like human tend to attach strongly to their privileged social status. But if they are in low-privileged social status some time they can be lured to breaks some social rules by some evil politicians who make use of their (the people’s) life-long social disadvantages.

3. I have assigned strong sexual attachment to be a characteristic of a ‘insectoid’ by some kinked logic. Anyway, I think at least the reader should agree with me that insects tend to reproduce more than humans in time rate and in number of offspring. So it should not be unfair to think that they also have stronger sexual attachment than humans.

4. In nature we often see that insects usually destroy their own food sources and habitats. So, I think that it should be OK to call any human who has the like behavior ‘an insectoid’, do you agree?

5. Insectiods are those who like to create public sentiments for their own benefits or those who strongly follow the public sentiment without thinking.

6. Insectoids usually feel unsafe if they are not attached strongly to the society, unlike humanoids who adore self-reliance (do–it-your-self), so insectoids tend to adore specialization in their consumed goods and services. They do not help those who want to live with self-reliance much, unlike the humanoids who do the opposite.
7. Two good traits of insectoids are that they are communicative with honest and useful information and sharing of knowledge to all the rest members of the group. Which the advent of the internet, now this whole planet is like one human community which all members can share and obtain worthy-to-know information and useful knowledge.

8. We all have some good and bad insect-like behavior more or less. It is we to decide whether to be a humanoid or an insectoid. (Personally, I chose to be a humanoid since I hate insects!)
"What is real and essential is mostly actually what we are lured to attach to it."

"The most straightforward meaning of ‘absolute monarchy’ is ‘self-deified militarily dictator-ism’. So by this fundamental fact, a king in a Buddhist-majority democratic country who still holds the highest power to rule the country (whether explicitly or disguisedly, directly or indirectly) and let the people worship him as a deity should not expect himself to be decently respected by reasonable Buddhists as the king."

"In Buddhism the people who are worthy to be worshipped the most are not the people who are the most intelligent or the most capable of doing many beneficial things to the society, but are those who have no guilty deed or the least guilty deeds and whose minds are the least tainted (by lust, greed, passion, anger, hatred, love, arrogance, prejudices, fear, ego, etc.). So the monarch who has the absolute power to rule a country (whether explicitly or disguisedly) is definitely not the highest worshipped (human) person in that (Buddhist) country. If any monarch wants to be the highest worshipped (human) person in that (Buddhist) country, he/she at least has to abandon the power to rule the country (whether explicitly or disguisedly, directly or indirectly) totally or else that monarch will definitely become a king (queen) of Maras."
“The kings of Maras are those who use the mass media, compulsory education, dictatorial governments (judiciary system), armed forces and modified or manipulated religious teachings to convince everybody in the society to believe that they are really the indispensable benevolent divine leaders the society cannot survive without. And, finally, most people in those countries behave in accordance to such condition (i.e. idolizing their leaders strongly, have no respect to fundamental laws or mutual agreements, strongly discriminate those who have different politic or religious idea, etc.) making them (the kings of Maras- whom are idolized by people) really indispensable divine persons to stop the resulting chaos.”

“Absolute Monarchy is not extinct from this world yet, but it persists in disguised forms.”

“Do goodness in the name of the Goodness itself, or else some Mara (or his/her servants) will unhesitatingly claim that that goodness of us should belong to his/her (their boss’) creditability.”

These facts apply at least in the country where I live (Thailand).

“The king of Maras (one who wants to rule all others) breeds absolute monarchy.

Absolute monarchy breeds patriotism.

Patriotism breeds dictatorial governments.

Dictatorial governments breed military-ism.

Military-ism breeds wars.
Wars breed degeneration of human's morality.
Degeneration of human's morality breeds -
'the Extinction of the Human Race'.”

Anonymous

Absolute Monarchy and Dictator-ism VS Buddhism

“The power of the wicked (or the foolish) is to find faults in other people.”

Buddhism

“The best people to make known of the faults of the deceitful, wicked people are also wicked people.”

‘A result from the above saying’

“So, sometimes, someone have to turn to be wicked in order to get rid of some (shameless)
deceitful wicked.”

‘A result from the above saying’

“For all of those who find faults of shameless deceitful wicked rulers without wanting to be replaced with those self-deluded rulers are merely disturbed-minded commoners, otherwise they are more evil than those rulers.”

Anonymous

“A cheater may be looked like a legendary turning-wheel monarch.
Preach like a legendary turning-wheel monarch.
Powerful like a legendary turning-wheel monarch.
Wealthy like a legendary turning-wheel monarch;
But he is not
Free from evil deeds like a legendary turning-wheel monarch.
Brave (to declare his grave mistake) like a legendary turning-wheel monarch.
Sincere (to help the innocent) like a legendary turning-wheel monarch.
Honest (to follow the rules) like a legendary turning-wheel monarch.
Just like a legendary turning-wheel monarch.
Kind (not let anyone be a scapegoat with death penalty) like a legendary turning-wheel monarch.
So he is not worthy to be respected as a legendary turning-wheel monarch.

Any society (country) worships a cheater like a legendary turning-wheel monarch will definitely keep on degenerating and face a big disaster when some long day ends."

"If the system is wicked, even the (real – not imaginary) best kind of people made to preside over it will also soon turn to be wicked.

So, it should be better to get rid of that wicked system instead of those (enticed to be wicked) people. " (It means that we should make the change mutually-agreeably and peacefully)

Anonymous

India in the Buddha time had a strong class system; people were divided to 4 classes, i.e. the Kasatriya (Warrior or ruler), the Brahman (priest), the Vaisaya (Businessperson) and the Shutra (Worker) [and no-class (Chandala) for those who violate the prohibition to marriage between classes)] according to their parent’s status. All kings were of Kasatriya class who had all the privileges in the Indian societies at that time since they were the leaders of the countries and commanders of the armed forces. Moreover, the Brahman class told the people to give them divine-like respect. The Buddha, himself, did not show much appreciation to this kind of class
system but he could not convince the people to abolish it. Anyway, for Buddhists, the Buddha has established his own class system; people are strictly divided to only 2 classes, i.e. the monk class and the layman class. The people in the monk class all have to practice chastity. If they are monks they cannot have any procession except some pieces of cloth as the clothing, a bowl, and a needle and thread (a pair of shoes is optional - a strict one may always be bare-feet). The monk-class people can earn a living only by begging (by standing silently in the place to be seen by the one who is the potential giver for a while – not using speaking), abstain from eating from noon till the next day (drinking water is allowed), cannot keep the food overnight (it means that they have to beg for food everyday). It is easy to see that it was extremely difficult to be a monk; so monks were deified as gods in Buddhists’ communities. Strict Buddhists respected a monk higher than the king even though he might have just ordained for 1 day, since the king was still a layman and had all convenient life and wealth. Anyway Buddhism has been on this earth for more than 2,600 years; nowadays the monks’ conducts have degenerated considerably from the original rules laid down by the Buddha. So, many so-called Buddhists give them less and less respect. Moreover, so-called Buddhist kings (in Southeast Asia countries) have adopted Hinduism to be their unsaid-but-official first religion for hundreds of years, and since the kings have also be the most powerful people both politically and militarily who can give big reward or honor or punishment with the death penalty to any layman citizen so they have gained the respect and fear from most Buddhists more than monks, maybe even more than the Buddha himself. This can bring a very bad result which I will show the reader only an example (in Dhammapada) that happened in the Buddha’s time as follows.
There was a arahant who had some acquaintance with a king’s jewelry smith’s household. There was a big room in the jewelry smith’s house where the jewelry smith used as the workshop and the jewelry smith’s wife often times gave food to the arahant in there. There was also a crane raised as a pet in that room. One day the arahant enter the room while the jewelry smith was working with the king’s ruby. Then the jewelry smith left the room for a while, leaving the ruby on the floor. The crane, misunderstood the ruby for a piece of meat, came to swallow it. Only the arahant saw all that had happened. When the jewelry smith came back to the room he could not find the ruby so he asked the arahant; the arahant only said that he did not take it. So the jewelry smith consulted with his wife (who was being pregnant).

Jewelry smith: It must be the monk that took the ruby! There cannot be anybody else!

Wife: Let us be slaves! Better not accuse the monk. I have never found any fault with him so far!

Jewelry smith: Even all of us be slaves, it will not account for the value of the ruby!

The jewelry smith then tie a rope around the arahant’s head put a piece of wood in it and tightened the rope. The arahant’s blood came out from his ears and his eyes. The crane smelling the blood came to eat the arahant’s blood on the floor. The jewelry smith, being in a very angry mood, kicked the crane. The crane fell dead on the floor at once.

The arahant: Please see whether the crane is already dead or not.

Jewelry smith: You will also be dead like this crane if you do not tell me where you hid the ruby!

Once the arahant saw that the crane was already dead, he said:
The arahant: Please cut open the crane’s belly. If the crane is still alive I cannot tell you this.

The jewelry smith then cut open the crane’s belly and found the ruby inside, he then could imagine what had happened. With shame, the jewelry smith asked the arahant to forgive him and come back and have meals at his house regularly as before. But the arahant said:

The arahant: This is not your fault. This is the fault of my entering a layman’s house.

   From now on I will never enter any layman’s house again. I will live the rest of my life with the strength of my legs only.

Due to the serious trauma the arahant had received, he died shortly afterward. The jewelry smith also died shortly after that. The jewelry smith’s wife gave birth to a child and then died too. Many monks who had heard this story came to ask the Buddha the places where these people went after death. The Buddha told that the jewelry smith was born in a hell, the crane was born as the new-born child, the jewelry smith’s wife was born in a heaven since she had felt sympathy to the arahant, and the arahant (his unique self-awareness) was extinguished (end of samsara) since he could get rid of all attachments. Then the Buddha said something which had the meaning like:

“For those who still have attachments, some are born in wombs,
   Some, who have committed evil deeds, are born in hells,
   Some, who have done good deeds, are born in heavens.
   But those who have no attachment are born nowhere.”

After hearing the saying, many people turned to be the Stream-Enterers (Sodapanna).
The notice that I would like to make in this story is that the jewelry smith was basically a good and reasonable man by nowadays Buddhist’s standard. But his fear of the king’s punishment was too strong that it overcame the respect in the arahant that he used to have. It was very likely that the jewelry smith did not know that the monk was an arahant, or else he, actually, did not have enough faith or enough understanding in Buddhism. So he risked himself choosing to punish an innocent monk better than to receive the punishment from the king; which made him finally ended up in a hell. The situation like this, I think, is still happening in some country where the majority of the people are so-called Buddhists. But they respect the king who supposed to be only a Buddhist layman and should be under the Laws more than monks or probably more than the Buddha. So I think that absolute monarchy, whether explicit or disguised, is worse than the mere military dictatorship, since it, unjustly, rules over the people both their bodies and spirits.

The ideal People’s Republic

"Mostly what all I have learnt in my life is to know only a few things that I should not do and a few things that I should do. I still do not know exactly how to avoid doing those things that I know that I should not do and do those things that I know that I should do: no need to talk about a lot more things that I do not even have any idea what they are."

"Concerning the ideal conditions, either personally or socially, I might never know exactly how it feels like or is like; the most that I am able to know might be only how it should not feel like or should not be like."
“In the country where the majority of the population is brain-washed to adore absolute monarchy or leader idolatry, it is the few people who get a lot of benefits from that people’s sentiment that really control the country. However, I think this same thing also happens in most imperialistic republics.”

Anonymous

“In place of old wants, satisfied by the production of the country, we find new wants, requiring for their satisfaction the products of distant lands and climes. In place of the old local and national seclusion and self-sufficiency, we have intercourse in every universal inter-dependence of nations. And as in material, so also in intellectual production. The intellectual creations of individual nations become common property. National one-sidedness and narrow-mindedness become more and more impossible, and from the numerous national and local literatures, there arises a world literature.”

Karl Marx and Frederick Engels, in the 1st chapter of the Manifesto of the Communist Party

The First idea about the ideal non-religious society which is not ruled by deified, father-to-son monarch has dated back in time of Plato stated in his book ‘The Republic’. Anyway Plato’s ideal republic was still ruled dictatorially by a philosophical king who had been morally trained and had had Philosophy education to the accepted level. In 1848 two German philosophers, Karl Marx and Frederick Engels, proposed to the public the Manifesto of the Communist Party, the analytical viewpoints of the human society since the known history to their contemporary time which had had ever-changing behavior of classes struggling with the rich-businessperson class (capitalists) had had been the often winner and main pusher and shaper to human social
evolution which had oppressed, taken advantages of, or influenced most of the members in the human society who were workers (include all branches of specialists, doctors, actors –actresses, athletics, politicians, lawyers, priests, etc.). I think there are a few very important things missing in the Manifesto of the Communist Party. Firstly, it says nothing about (the importance of) human individual morality, which I think, is the most essential thing in a just and happy society. Secondly, it does not condemn violence or persuade people or introduce some procedures to peaceful changes. And, finally, it says nothing about military-ism and the drastic global natural environment deterioration due to both uncontrolled capitalism and materialistic dictatorial socialism which have been undermining the human society globally since the dawn of the industrial revolution.

“Sometime a change in a society is important but the way to that changes is usually much more important.”

Anonymous

Common mistakes of most established republics nowadays (As far as I can see)

1. Adore Materialism, do not make the policy to increase or maintain the population’s morality (including the preservation of the cleanliness of the natural environment) as the 1st priority

2. Give low priority to non-religious Spiritualism [i.e. sexual morality (as the first priority), non-violence, considerateness, no avarice, honesty, sincerity, justice, politeness,
minimizing competitions in earning a living, not trying to dominate others (avoiding monopolization), friendship, etc.]

3. Allow to have wide-gap social and economic differences in the society

4. Do not put appropriate maximum limits for wealth and land property of an individual

5. Do not make the policy to abolish soldiers, weapons and wars (also gambling, intoxicants and prostitution)

6. Promote Leader Idolatry [Exaggeratedly praised the (explicit or disguised) dictatorial leaders], or Patriotism or Racism, Nationalism, Imperialism or religious forced Faiths

7. Do not help providing enough conditions (or rules) for some people to be able to live (decently) independently and (decently) freely from the state (providing a social venting valve for an individual).

Conclusions

1. In the essence, absolute monarchy is self-deified military dictator-ism.

2. In a Buddhist society, the persons who are the most worshipped as gods are the ones who are taintless who usually are monks whom are believed to be arahants. [Anyway, since nowadays, few monks follow all the monks’ rules laid down by the Buddha, many Buddhists worship nobody (a living person) beside their parents but they still worship monks as the whole (Sanga) highly due to the fact that they still believe in the Buddha.]

4. The best kind of people who can unmask the wicked is also the wicked. So sometimes we have
to be wicked if we want to unmask some wicked person. (It is a kind of self-sacrifice which I
do not really like it. But I have to be gratitude to the people who feed me cooked rice every
day.)

5. If the system is wrong then it is the system that is the real culprit, not really the one who
presides on it.

6. If the system is wrong we should talk about to how to correct or abolish the system first, not
rashly to eliminate the people [who get tremendous amount of benefit from the (wrong) system]
since they might be willing to cooperate to change which will be the best thing to the whole
society ever. [We should gather together then sit down on the floor with hands holding the
adjacent persons’ kneels and talk politely (use the flower language) with equal mutual respect.]

7. In a Buddhist community there are only 2 classes of people which are the monk class people
and the layman class people. The layman class people however good or powerful they might be
should not make themselves to be worshipped by the public as high as the holy people or more
than the people’s own parents.

8. If a king makes himself to be revered by someone as the most revere able person, it may cause
a disastrous result to that person who worships him as the jewelry smith in a story in
Dhammapada.

9. The people have thought about the ideal People’s Republic where there are no deified military
rulers as kings or totalitarian dictators for thousands of years. Nowadays even though most of
the countries are free from the influence of the monarch but are still under the influence of rich
businesspersons instead. They keep on trying to make profit by help prolonging some chronic wars or building up weapons stocks in various countries, and intoxicate the public with consumerism which resulted in hardships, poverty, and the degeneration in both human morality and the natural environment as the whole. (Karl Marx and Frederick Engels are proven to be not totally wrong!)
“What the people believe is what they hear and see the most often.”

Common sense

“It is difficult to get a piece of nonbiased information from the media, since most of the times prejudices have already been there in the language (via grammars and wordings) that the media use.”

“Those who are ruled want their rulers to use only definitive and clear language but the rulers (also including politicians and lawyers) cannot do that or else they cannot make their own livings.”

Do you agree?

“Jesus (or someone using his name) said in the gospel of John that ‘If you know the (2-sided) truth, the (2-sided) truth will make you free’, so the duty of the media in a dictatorial state is to present the population with only one-sided truth lest the people will know the 2-sided truth and be able to free themselves from the control of the state. [We should feel for them (those media people), since they have to feed their mouths and their children. - Don’t blame them too much.]”

Why did I write this down? Everybody already knows this!

“A truth always has many more than one or two important aspects, which we can never know all of them, but usually the known aspects of it can be grouped into 2 contrary categories, benevolent to someone and malevolent to that same one. If the media (people) intentionally choose to present to the public only one either category then those media are known to be for or against that person. But if the media
exaggeratedly praise someone all the time. I do not know whether they are for or against that person. Since I don’t know whether they (those media) are only mere idiots or cunning 2-level-thought crooked thinkers."

This kind of thing happens all the time in the country where I live (Thailand).

"2 of the gravest sins that I am afraid to commit are praising the evil and condemning the innocent. However, in reality, no (layman) person is 100% good or 100% bad and the media usually exaggeratedly praise or blame a person according to their prejudices. To find non-bias political information in some society is almost impossible."

"The best politic policy is honesty, sincerity and equal respect to everybody. Unfortunately, politicians who have all of these qualities have never reached the top of their political career."

"In a fighting for justice, if our enemies begin to think the same way that we think, then we are more likely to be the winner soon. So, in order to guarantee to have only the just and peaceful ending in a political fighting, we should always resort only to just and peaceful methods during the fighting."

Anonymous

"It is impossible to have justice and peace in the society where the media and the judges are highly biased."

"It has long been accepted that in politic there is no good choice, only the less evil or the more evil. Democracy means let the whole people in that society, not the court, be the one who decides which is less evil which is more evil via the general election. If
the politicians of any side do not accept this rule then, definitely, they are the more evil."

"Justice is essential but not enough to bring peace to the society; mercy and forgiveness have to be added."

Anonymous

"A Student: Teacher! What is the easy way to make a living and receive both wealth and fame from the public?

The Teacher: Even though you are not crazy (in something), act as if you are crazy (in the same thing as the public is). Even though you are not a slanderer, act as if you are a slanderer. Even though you are not a dancer, act as if you are a dancer. Even though you are not one who easily excited by the news, act as if you are one who easily excited by the news. Once you have done all of these 4 things, you will receive wealth and fame from the people who are immersed in delusion. This teaching is especially for you!

The student: This is a blame-worthy way of living and receiving wealth and fame from the public. I would rather be ordained as a monk begging food from the people for my living better than doing these things!"

From Labagarahika Jataka

Some Fundamental Beliefs that should not be shaken by the Social Sentiment

"The people whom are in the first group that the dictatorial government exerts its controlling power are the media people. They are among the first people who will receive big rewards or punishments when the government changes to be the dictatorial type."

Anonymous
Nowadays, most people cannot survive independently, so most of us are strongly attached to the society spiritually more and more like insects do. We have lost a lot of self-reflection and self-confidence in our own thinking. What is real or true for us nowadays is almost what the majority of the society says that it is real or true even if it might obviously contradict to what we can clearly see or think by ourselves. There is an Indian tale story (maybe also an Aesop tale story) that once a gang of drunkards tried to play a trick on a man who was carrying a lamb to be sacrificed in a religious ceremony. They divided themselves into 3 groups. The 1\textsuperscript{st} group greeted the man and asked why the man was carrying ‘a goat’. The man answered them that it was ‘a lamb’ not ‘a goat’. Then the 2\textsuperscript{nd} group came greeting the man with the same question. The man still answered the same but he began to doubt whether he misunderstood a goat for the lamb. Then the 3\textsuperscript{rd} group came greeting the man with still the same question. This time the man really thought that he had misunderstood a goat for the lamb and threw that lamb away which was later caught and made dinner for that crafty drunkards’ gang. Some might think that this story is too exaggerated what should really happen in the reality. It is hardly possible for someone who has acquaintance with both a lamb and a goat will do such a foolish thing as the man in the story. I somewhat agree with that. However the goat is an object or a concrete entity which we can see and touch closely. But if it is an abstract entity such as the goodness or badness of some leaders or politicians, the situation as in the story is very likely to happen. There is a Thai saying with the meaning “10 hearings from other people is not equal to 1 seeing with our own eyes. 10 seeing with our own eyes is not equal to 1 touching with our own hands (lest they are illusions that we see!)”. But in a real life it is rarely possible that we have chances or enough time to see decently as many needed-to-know aspects of a political problem and be able to make a closed analysis to each aspect whether it is real or exaggerated or illusory. So, I think, we should have some basic viewpoints used as the ground to consider any political problem that comes across our interest (whether we are serious with it or not) as follows:
1. There has never been any real person who is perfect in every aspect or has not committed any evil deed. So, to worship the monarch or the leader of the state as a divine-like person is definitely wrong which causes many political problems to follow and is the root of dictator-ism and military-ism.

2. No one has the innate right to rule other people. Actually no one has the right to rule any other one. The right to be the (temporary) leader of a society (country) has to be given by the consensus (the majority who participates in voting) which always includes not all members in that society. So the appointed leader cannot have unlimited right to force someone to respect him, trespass the privacy of the individual or giving capital or grave punishment to any individual in that society (this also includes the law).

3. Those who are the first to start breaking the mutual agreement are more evil.

4. Those who are destroying the more fundamental rule for keeping peace in the society are more evil.

5. The long chronic political, social and economic chaos in a country indicates that the thing that really has been the root problem in that country is not only the political people but also the underlying system that has long been with that chronic political, social and economic chaos.

6. About 10 years ago, in the country where I live, one side of the politicians started to break the important fundamental law that stopped the country’s functionality, but the judiciary power did nothing with it, then, later, the opposite side politicians had to break some laws to make the country be able to ‘keep on walking’. Then the judiciary power came into action. They punished that later side politicians severely and (after a coup d’état, writing a new constitution under controlled of the military government and a general election) let a big reward to be given to the first side politicians. (end of 1st episode) Some time later the later side politicians started to break an important fundamental law that secure peace in the country but the first side politicians then broke more fundamental law that secure peace in the country by
using unlawful violence to stop it. The later side politicians should be punished and the first side politicians should be more punished. But the judiciary power did not come into action. (end of 2\textsuperscript{nd} episode) After a general election the later side politician became the government again. Then the first side politicians have started breaking the important fundamental law that stopped the country’s functionality again (same old people, same old accusation). This time, the later side politicians have not broken any law yet and the judiciary power comes into more action than before, but looks like it supports the first side politicians more than supports the enforcement of the important fundamental law to make the country be able to function. As the present time, when I write this, this episode has not ended yet, but the respect that I used to have with the judiciary power along with those who have been behind it has ended quite a long time ago.

“If we do not untie a knot rightly, it will create a more-difficult-to-untie knot. And if we keep on untying the knot incorrectly we will end up with a knot impossible to untie. Finally the only way left is to cut it into halves by a knife.”

Looked like the judiciary people have done some things like this in Thailand more than a decade now.

Free-from-state-Control Global media

“The universal mode of communication is Simplicity, Sincerity, Goodwill and Humbleness.”

Anonymous

Usually the main reasons that we study other languages are:

1) To gain knowledge (or entertainment) that cannot be obtained from the media in our language,
2) To share our knowledge with those who do not know our language, and

3) To obtain mutual benefits (i.e. trade) and understanding with the people who speak different language than ours.

I think the last benefit is the most important thing for bringing peace to this world (earth), since peace among nations can be obtained only when the people in every country are satisfied with the deeds and agreements they have with all their neighboring countries (which in this Enlightenment Age, this means with all the countries in the rest of the world) or they feel that they are treated by their neighboring countries with justice (not being taken advantage of). This can be obtained, in turn, when they can understand the people of their neighboring countries who speak other language than their mother’s tongue. The disappointing thing is that even though most humans who are grouped as countries on this planet have been able to communicate to other groups of people (countries) who speak different language via a few who are their country’s representatives (in various fields, i.e., politics, trade, culture, etc.), wars and contempt among countries which the population speak different language have been and still exist in this world. This can be seen without much difficulty that it is caused by the self-delusion and selfishness of a small group of people usually with high prestige who can control most of the population’s spirituality (via controlled media and education), armed forces and economics. I have found out by myself (while struggling with my study in the USA) that actually most of the people from different countries (including the Americans) are of the same kind which are friendly, honest, and sympathetic, do not like to take advantage of others and
tend to be in the humble side than in the arrogant side. (Most Americans are self-confidence though, and sometime it is difficult to differentiate between arrogance and self-confidence, but these 2 things are certainly different.) But, now, we, freedom-lover and self-reliant humanoids, can bypass the few information controller insectoids and share our information to other humanoids in all other part of the planet via the internet. I hope that this condition will persist for a considerable length of time before all the government-people in every country on this planet (the insectoids) co-operate to block our sharing of the inconvenient facts and truths.

“To know a truth about something from the media is not difficult.

To know enough truths about that thing from the media is very difficult.”

Anonymous

Conclusions

1. In a country where the government is dictatorial or pro-military, the media people cannot present non biased-information to the public since at least they have to think of their own survival and their families.

2. I think ‘Honesty’ is the best (international) political policy (in the long run).

3. In reality, there is no all-good politic party. There are only the less evil and the more evil. Because of the media’s prejudice many people believe that there are all-ethical and all-unethical politic parties.
4. The way to be successful in the media business has long been known in India even before the Buddha time, but it was not appreciated much by the ancient scholars.

5. If we worship the one who presides over an illogical system of politic, then, naturally, we cannot avoid accepting that whole illogical system of politic. (Do you agree?)

6. An illogical system of politic (i.e. absolute monarchy and military dictatorship) has proven itself all along in the human history (i.e. in India, China and Europe) that it will bring social injustice, wars and the decline in human morality as the whole. But the media in some countries are still praising it due to the fact that media are influenced or owned by some ones who still receive a lot of benefits from the system (i.e. some of the monarchy people, high-ranked military commanders and professional soldiers, high-ranked priests, judiciary people, wealthy business people, etc.).

7. If the political chaoses have been occurred continually in a country for a very long period of time (i.e. more than 80 years), then it should not be only the people but also the underlying system that causes the problem - and the media also.

8. Free-from-state-controlled information can be found from the internet presently. Hopefully, it will last for a considerable length of time before vanishing.
“Dear monks; I have not seen any other thing else that once happens will cause not-happened-yet unwholesome things to happen or already-happened unwholesome things to increase more than ‘having false viewpoints’.

...  

Dear monks; I have not seen any other thing else that once happens will cause not-happened-yet false viewpoints to happen or already-happened false viewpoints to increase more than ‘thinking in a wrong direction’.

...

Once a person has a false viewpoint, his/her actions, speeches, thoughts, wills, intention, desires which are influenced by that false viewpoint will (eventually) cause unwholesome results.

Like a seed of a bitter-fruited plant that a person grows in moist soil, the taste from the ground and the taste from the water that will end up to be the taste of the fruit of that plant are bitter; because the seed is the seed of a bitter-fruited plant.”

Ekka Nipatta, Anguttara Nikaya, Suttanta Pitika

“Not all education is benevolent, but education is still essential for anyone who still strongly doubts in something. In Buddhism the one who achieves the highest education is not the one who has obtained the most profound knowledge of nature of any kind but is the one who no more attaches to the existence of his/her self or anything else in this or any other world that is the one who no more strongly doubts about anything since he/she does not attach to or is not strongly interested in anything. In other words, in Buddhism if we attach to something we need the education to detach from that thing.”
“The institutionalized education both in schools and monasteries under the absolute monarchy (explicitly or implicitly) or dictatorial government is guaranteed by its nature to create the population who adores attachment in many things [i.e. leader idolatry (that monarch or dictator), patriotism, egoism, idolism, comfort ability, technology-ism, etc.].

“Those who lure us to attach to something are definitely devilish cheaters. Those who worship those devilish cheaters are pathetical morons. Those who worship those devilish cheaters and also persuade or force other people (i.e. by compulsory education) to worship those devilish cheaters are hopeless-to-recover idiots. Those hopeless-to-recover idiots get little benefit from being born human. Those who get little benefit from being born human should have let some other spirits who have more potential to be born as human instead of them. For those spirits should have better chance to get their spiritual Liberation and would not spoil other people’s chances to get their spiritual Liberation if they were born humans. (As a Thai saying “Ching Hma Kerd!” (“ชิงหมาเกิด”) )

Me think

“The most powerful tool to control the society is ‘Controlled Education’, that is to be the by-named head sponsor of all educations in that society which will guarantee that most people will not be against you since most people are educated and grateful.

All dictators know this fact.

“Darwin had one thing superior to Newton by the reason that he should have been less influenced by the monarch; so should his knowledge. That is why his name has no king-given title.”
“Free-from-state control education (i.e. self study) and low-biased media make people intelligent, while false (military or high prestige or politically institutionalized) education and high-biased media make people idiotic.”

I have experienced this all my life!

“The one who is well educated is the one who does not think that he/she is special to others.

The one who is better educated is the one who is well educated and can get rid of any evil thought quickly once it appears in his/her mind.

The one who is the best educated is the one who is better educated and can make somebody else to be better educated like him/her.”

“The bad part of the educated is that they are too logical.

The good part of the uneducated is that they are still sentimental.”

Anonymous

Thinking outside the social norm of thinking

“Learning without thinking is useless.

Thinking without learning is dangerous.”

Confucius

“To find the ways to solve the facing individual, science and social problems most of the time humans have had to go into the uncharted territory outside by then norm of thinking. Then, not long after that some of those new ways of thinking which proven successful have become the new conventional norm of thinking.”

Ahh...We all know this!
"The width of our viewpoint of an object depends on the angle of view and the distance between us and that object. Like wisely, the width of our viewpoint of a subject depends on the amount of various kinds of our experiences in that subject and the sentimental distance between us and that subject and what we have undoubtedly believed as true or just in that subject. Anyway, most of the time, what we have undoubtedly believed as true or just are irrational social norms of beliefs established by the rulers or those who get a lot of benefits from the establishment of those norms of beliefs."

"If we think that the thing we know cannot be definitely exact, then knowing of that thing should not make us arrogant. If we think that the thing we know must be exactly like that all the time, then we may be arrogant because of knowing that thing. Since arrogance is not good, when we know something we should not attach to that knowledge (too proud of knowing it)."

"Arrogance due to having knowledge is a trait of a born-to-be dictator. Not-attached-to-knowledge is a trait of a liberal earth-dweller."

Anonymous

I had often thought until recently (a couple of years ago) that we could not be sure what really happened to humans on this earth before the time that we can find the recorded understandable evidences. That is roughly only about 10,000 years ago which, comparing to the eternity of time, is very short. And the scientific evidences and theories keep on ever-changing, making no scientific theory concerning human history on earth 100% false-proofed. So how could we trust all religious leaders who proclaimed that they were omni-science and taught about something concerning eternity? I have found out later that many world-renowned religion founders actually did not proclaim that they were omni-
science or stress on eternity and definite almighty person-like God but it was some ones later who used those religions’ founders’ names to establish these social norms of believing for their own benefit. Mostly these people have been kings, rulers, high-class people, head monks and warriors, and nowadays it also includes politicians and wealthy businesspeople and the media who work for these people.

Another important social norm of belief that most people (except Protagoras) have had for more than 2500 years is that there is an objective entity (the thing that has the properties as it is without referring to any observer); actually all entities are subjective which means that it can exist only it has (at least) an observer. And many abstract entities are 2nd degree subjective (feeling abstracts*) and 3rd degree subjective (i.e. thinking abstracts*, most important of which is ‘the truth’) (* Please look at the definitions in the 1st article of the website http://homospiritus.webs.com.) Those people who think that truth is objective, I think, have a tendency from, at least, having the feeling of self-importance or, to a high level, being an ego-maniac.

Still, another important social norm of belief is to believe that the unlimited capacity of our minds to really perceive the ever-bigger ness and the ever-smaller ness of reality. Actually, what we really perceive is the recurrence pattern of nature not the real (whole) nature itself. We have no capacity to comprehend (no need to talk about finding tool or method to observe) the ever-bigger ness or ever-smaller ness of nature. Accepting this in small scale, it should lead us to abolish the idea of certainty. Accepting this in big scale, we should not attach to any cosmological theory as absolutely correct or complete. In daily life we should find a new definition of a solid line segment and revise some fundamental mathematic beliefs (This will make life much easier for the students – our children). In Physics, we should reconsider the validity of Einstein’s relativity theory. [I do not want to say that his theory is wrong, but how can a theory be right if its premise is wrong? And if we keep on pursuing the wrong thing, who can guarantee that a severe catastrophe will not happen – for example the CERN
accelerator may turn to be the Kingdom Come Bomb, killing a lot of the people on this planet - on some unfortunate day!

"The secret of heaven is to 'believe that it exists but do not want to go there'.

Then you can find by yourself the appropriated way to live among those who have different beliefs of the way to heaven or those who do not believe.

And, by any chance, you end up in a heaven as one of the poorest angels; your mind should not be disturbed about it."

You have the right not to believe this! Think by yourself!

Controlled education under the dictatorial or intimidated-by-the-behind-curtain-dictator governments

"Good education lets us know the good things as good, the bad things as bad.

Better education makes us appreciate the good things as good, the bad things as bad.

The Best education makes us appreciate the good things as good, the bad things as bad but does not make us attach to them.

"Bad education does not make known what is good and what is bad.

Worse education makes us appreciate the wrong things as the right things.

The worst education entrenches us with the idea that some attachments are essential."

Anonymous
Usually most of us cannot remember what had happened to us since birth until early childhood which is quite a big pity since that was the crucial period of time for our survival in this world when mostly it was only our parents who devoted their strength and love for us that made us be able to survive through that period. However, nowadays, around the age of 4 or 5 years old when someone growing up enough just to speak, he/she will have to attend the kindergarten school. At the entrance of a school nowadays, in Thailand, usually, on the right hand side there is a roofed-structure contains a (at least) medium size picture of the king along with the decorative and honorary set of small tables for any passer-by to pay respect. Many buildings in every school in the country are given the name as the honorary of His Majesty which his picture or the royal family or the symbol of some royal jubilee on their fronts or sides. His picture along with his wife’s picture, the queen’s, are usually situated in pair in front of every classroom above the blackboard. In any teachers’ working room, there are more of them, usually better in quality. Everywhere and every time there is an official meeting or ceremony which includes the teachers and the students, the Buddha image accompanied with king picture and a small national flag in honorary small setting tables will preside that meeting. All elementary-school students will learn to sing, Christian church’s chorus style, his majesty honorary song at the end of any school meeting. The king is always referred to by teachers as the all benevolent blameless divine person. His benevolent projects and importance have been referred to and stated in details in many textbooks in various subjects in all years of elementary school and high school. So, it is not a surprise to find out that most Buddhist students have learnt to respect the king more than their own parents or the Buddha since elementary school.
Naturally the basic common Thai language is more easier to learn than many other languages like learning basic Japanese with using only Hiragana characters, yet when I was in the elementary school the first 4 years of the elementary school were used mainly only for the students to be able to master (read, write and use correctly) this basic common Thai language. So the knowledge of the basic mother tongue was learnt and instilled gradually and systematically during this 4-year period. Now they have reduced that 4 year time period to be only 1 year by using the technique of learning Chinese calligraphies which is much inferior. One important reason, I think (from my observation), is because the government has tried to introduce the knowledge of the royal language to the students much earlier than before (compared with the time when I was in the elementary school – roughly 40 years ago). Maybe because they want to instill the students to deeply appreciate the monarchy (also patriotism) as soon as possible for securely preserving the present political system. Usually the royal language is not of the primary but at least intermediate level of difficulty, so they also have changed the language used in the textbooks of all other subjects in the elementary school to be of intermediate level of difficulty right from the beginning. This, I think, has been a big abuse for very young children and is not fair politically both for the children and their parents. [Actually they have tried to solve this problem by lowering the age of the children to begin to study language from 7 years of age to only 4 years of age as 3 years kindergarten level decades ago. But I have found out by my observation and my own experience that many kindergarten children are too young to absorb enough experience and understanding in reading and writing. They need 3 - 4 more years in elementary school to master Thai language only in the basic
level, not ready for intermediate level yet] Moreover, beside Thai, English has also been compulsory to be learnt from kindergarten for a long time; nowadays at grade 7, students are required to learn Chinese also. (They might have the intention to make all the Thai students geniuses but I think they have made a serious mistake!) This really has caused too much stress to the students. Many devastating results have occurred to many students for many years already. Many have turned against education because it is too difficult, there is too much competition, they have little time left for child-play life and they always get poor grades even if they have tried their best. Many schools have solved these problems by lowering the standard of giving grades; students can get good grades even though they do not have enough understanding in those subjects. Most Thai students’ performance has been declining. Once graduated from high schools, most of them are efficient in no language; even their own mother tongue (cannot do a decent comprehensive writing). The other thing else that they have learnt in high school years are used only to compete to continue studying at some high prestige departments at some high prestige universities which, I think, are available for only about 20 per cent of the total number of those who graduate from high schools. The things that they have learnt and been entrenched during long school years, beside leader idolatry (monarchy-ism) and patriotism [so to be able to abolish military-ism or soldiers in Thailand is still hardly possible] have been proven of little value in their lives not worth the time, the effort and the money that they have spent. But this system of education has yielded a lot of benefit for those who are in (I would like to use the word) ‘the education industry’, so it persists and keeps on growing by itself even though it has caused a lot of problems to the whole society (like drugs or gambling).
Another important reason is because it tightly links to the politics of the country which most of the time have been militarily dictatorial or pro-dynasty governments. I think the situation of education in Thailand right now is more or less like the situation happened near the end of the Qing dynasty of China. One extra serious problem that did not occur near the end of the Qing dynasty is the highly rapid deterioration of the natural surrounding due to the use of pesticide, herbicide and excessive use of automobiles, detergents and plastic bags which up till now the existing education in Thailand has not taken it seriously.

“How can we be better than the one whom we worship?

So, if we worship a self-deluded or an ego-maniac person, how can we be not self-deluded or ego-maniac?”

Anonymous

Conclusions

1. The most important function of the education is to help us having right viewpoints.

2. Those who are well educated should have little attachment in anything, considerate and give morality more privilege than comfort ability or technology.

3. Before we begin to think outside the usual norm of thinking, it is advised that we should have learnt and understood the value of those ideas or viewpoints inside the norm.
4. I think that the conventional norm of thinking that “if the premise is wrong, then the theory derived from that premise cannot be right” is still universally and eternally true.

5. We cannot perceive the infiniteness of time and space. What we can perceive is only the recurrence patterns of their expansion (or reduction).

6. By nature, knowledge of any kind cannot be exact or 100% certain [it is approximated or only in accordance with what has been observed (which cannot be all that exist and only in a short period of time compared with eternity)] so we should not be too sure or too proud of it.

7. Education in a pro-dynasty country tends to entrenched the students with leader idolatry, patriotism and military-ism.

8. We should not instill children (say from 4-12 years old) to worship any political figure (i.e. the king the queen, the president, the prime minister, statesmen, etc.) or to adore patriotism but should instill them with standard good social behavior such as gratitude (i.e. to parents, teachers, those who have helped us without wanting something in return, etc.), politeness (humbleness), nonviolence, elder respect, considerateness, friendliness, self-discipline, having responsibility, patience, kindness, honesty, appreciation and willing to protect the natural environment.

9. The philosophy behind the state’s compulsory education should be only to provide the children with just enough knowledge and skill to be able to survive happily in the society without strong competition. So in the society that there are always a lot of competitions, injustices, inequalities, economic differences, and the politic keeps on being chaotic it is impossible to have the right compulsory (or any level or any kind of) education.
“No love can be greater than the love of one’s own self (life).”

A Buddha’s saying

“In the time of the Metraiya Buddha, when the human life-span is 80,000 years, there will be only 3 sicknesses which are old age, feeling hungry (before a meal time) and feeling sleepy (after finishing eating).”

Chakkavatti Sutta, Digha Nikaya

“Hunger is the biggest sickness.”

The Buddha has told us.

“Greed is the biggest hunger.”

Me think

“If we were not born, we would not get sick, old-aged and die. So, the best prevention not to get sick is not to be born. But we all have already been born. So, the best prevention not to get sick in the next life is not to be born again.”

Who can object this?

The cause(s) of Illness

“Suffering is the humanity’s illness which is caused by ‘Ignorance’.”

Buddhism
"Men believe only that it is a divine disease because of their ignorance and amazement."

Hippocrates, from 'The Sacred Disease' (quoted from 'History Learning Site')

"Will you have some microbe? There is some everywhere. Microbiolatry is the fashion. it reigns undisputed; it is a doctrine which must not even be discussed, especially when its Pontiff, the learned Monsieur Pasteur, has pronounced the sacramental words. "I have spoken". The microbe alone is and shall be the characteristic of a disease; that is understood and settled:.....the Microbe alone is true. and Pasteur is its prophet."

Rossignol, written in 1881. (Quoted from 'History Learning Site')

"These 5 things will prolong our lives (prevent illness).

1. Notice and consume only the things* that make us healthy. Avoid consuming the things that make us unhealthy. (*food, air, water, dwelling place, feeling, etc. – which can be different from person to person, we have to observe by ourselves what is suitable for us.)

2. Be medium in consuming the things that good to our health; not over consume them.

3. Eat well-done or easy-to-digest food.

4. Do not make friend with those who like to spoil their lives or health (i.e. killing animals, drink liquor, fool around both day and night, addicted to watching plays (sports), prostitutes, gambling, etc.).

5. Abstain from, or minimize sexual activity.

[The Buddha also said that those who are humble and show respect to the elder will have long life, beauty of skin's color, happiness and healthy.]

Ayuwattana Sutta.
“Cleanliness is next to God.”
A Christian proverb

“One prevention is worth a hundred remedies.”

Do you agree?

Figure 1. The cause of Illness

Usually an illness occurs because of more than 1 cause as has been noticed by some ancient physicians thousands of years ago. I would like to divide the cause of an illness to be from 3 factors or 3 (minor) causes which are 1) the spiritual environment, 2) the material environment, and 3) the patient him/herself.

The spiritual environment means the surrounding people and the media that has emotional impact on us, i.e. make us feel angry, tense, worried, unsecured, etc., or make us believe in doing something, worship someone, hate someone, etc. which might be harmful to ourselves psychologically and/or physically. (As I have stated in some article earlier that people can radiate their emotion or feeling through their thought waves which will affect everybody’s feeling living in that area.)

The second type means our physical surrounding which can be healthy (clean, free from toxic substances, poisonous fumes or dust, not too hot, not to cold, not to humid, etc.) or unhealthy (anything vice versa). It also includes the parasites, bacteria or viruses that enter our bodies. It also includes physical injuries either from someone’s intention or by accidents.
The third factor which is the patient him/herself means the patient’s immune strength, sanitary and safety behavior, patience (either physically or mentally), morality, attitudes about life, health and justice, faith in religion or spirituality. And I, as a Buddhist, also believe in the pending results of the previously done (bad) karmas (either from this life or from previous lives), so it should include this.

To avoid illness by getting rid of the cause that make it happen is to employ the preventive measure against illness which usually costs much less of our health and money than the remedy does. We can educate ourselves to be able to differentiate between hazardous-to-health philosophies (i.e. leader idolatry, military-ism, materialism, hero-from-competition-ism, the winner takes all-ism, etc.) and good-to-health philosophies [i.e. democracy, anti-war, Spiritualism, cooperativeness, good things (except sex) should be shared-ism, etc.] so that we can keep our minds calm and peaceful when we are in the tense spiritual environment, and avoid or protect ourselves when living in the harmful physical environment.

Medical treatment of the illness, especially in the modern time, is usually costly. Only few very rich countries with not-too-many people can provide free high-quality curative Medicare to all the population (As far as I know there are Saudi Arabia, Brunei and should be some oil-rich Arab countries.) Other high-standard-of-living countries such as the Scandinavians, New Zealand, some wealthy European countries, Singapore, Japan and Malaysia, the government employ the preventive public health policy such as taking good care of the cleanliness of the environment, enforcing the laws concerning the sanitary and safety behavior of the people and control the media not to promote violence or immoral sexual contents, etc.

A CASE STUDY FROM THAILAND

The Monarchy and the Government (from general elections) have competed using curative Medicare to gain and retain the popularity from the people which have resulted in decreasing public health as the whole.

About half a century ago when the communist China and the Socialist Soviet Union spread their influence into the Indo-China peninsula, many countries in the peninsular adopted the extreme anti-
communist policy with the help from the USA. Many countries turned to be militarily dictatorial. To justify themselves, those dictators tried to promote patriotism and put the monarchs most of whom by then supposed to be under the constitutions (no power to rule or manipulate the national affairs) as raised-to-be the most respected rulers again (also to prevent the people from appreciating socialism); Thailand was one of such countries. The heads of the Thai government, by then, helped the monarchs initiated and done many curative Medicare projects in many local areas far away from the modern medical facility (by supporting with the national budgets, specialists and controlled media) along with the land and water resource development projects. This propaganda was proved to be very successful; it has won the admiration of the Thai people all over the country. The monarchs have reach the top of respect from all Thai people (as far as I know) and have stay there ever since until recently.

However during these past 50 years, the morality, way of living, the natural surroundings and most importantly (since we are discussing about it) the health of the people in Thailand have changed drastically (recounted from my memory), disappointingly to worse conditions. Materialism or Comfort ability-ism have been in place of used-to-be Spiritualism. The former well-known good-hearted, noncompetitive, healthy, easy-to-smile, easy-to-let-go and honest rice-field dwellers have almost completely gone. It has been replaced by selfish, ever-competitive, lack-of-sympathy, dishonest, revengeful and unhealthy town dwellers. The Thai politics (the politicians) has so far been the reflection of the Thai town dwellers so it is very illusory; hardly any person can get enough true information since everyone keeps on cheating one another and the media have never been non-biased. It is not a surprise to find out that many times the foreign media misunderstand some political information in Thailand quite contrary to what really is (I, myself, find it very funny sometimes.) However, without the politic discipline, the country has been in the state of politic chaos in time of the governments from the general elections and then in controlled by militarily dictatorial governments alternatively since 1932. The whole
country has degenerated quite considerably because of this. There is no way to reach the democratically political stability today. Every significant political party even the monarch has to think of its own survival from day to day basis. No more hope for (decently) sincere democratic politics in this country.

For a decade or a little more than that, some politicians have tried to gain political security by trying to gain the popularity from the people by presenting curative Medicare to all the people with at-the-counter cost only 30 Baht (not even up to a US dollar) for any illness. Initially this should have been a good project but no for all the people, only for the poor people who do not have any kind of state’s health insurance or enough money to pay for the conventional medical treatment. Even though the standard of the given medication might not be equal to those who have the state’s health insurance or those who can pay the medical bill, the policy makers still should not be blamed since the poor people still get something better than nothing. But (I think, some of) those politicians might have wanted to get too many popular votes than the appropriated number, so they have expanded this policy to cover everybody. But the underlying fact is that we will never get something from nothing, and the Thai government is not rich enough, like Saudi Arabia or Brunei government, to provide free curative Medicare to everybody who gets sick. What they have done so far is to take the portion (budgets, facilities, medical people) that belongs to the group which formerly had decent free medication from state’s insurance (i.e. governmental officers and workers and their families, etc.), to be shared with the poor, and set some budget for some preventive Medicare by creating and sustaining the cult of evening performing-together-in-the-public aerobic dance. (For the wealthy people or those who have commercial health insurance this policy has not affected their lives.) Since the available free decent medication has to be shared to more people, its quality has to be lowered. Some who used to have the free decent medication have turned to private hospitals or commercial health insurance which, I think, this is the real group which was forced to sacrifice. The poor, who may be the largest in number, have
gotten the net gain. Anyway, some time some of them still go to private clinics or private hospitals to get better treatment than from somewhat under-standard or too crowded 30-baht hospitals. The opposite politic party had assaulted this policy heavily but once they had a chance to be the government themselves instead of abolishing this policy, they changed the fee from 30 baht to 0 baht!

The war-of-tug between the monarch and the politicians using curative Medicare to gain more popularity from the people has been increasing rapidly recently. The queen used to be the president of the Thai Red Cross; now it is the elder princess. Nowadays most blood donation is carried out by the Red Cross with the announcing of giving the merit to the king. Many hospitals have given free cataract surgery to the elder also announcing for the sake of the king’s merit. In the government side, besides 30 or 0 baht hospitals the governments have given the elder whose age are equal or above 60 years monthly pension (approximately equal to 15-20 US Dollar). These are all curative Medicare policies (Remember? The Buddha said that “Hunger is the biggest sickness.”) for winning the popularity from the people. Anyway the fundamental problems that cause the people’s sicknesses which are the deterioration in the spiritual and material environments have been increasing in the overall picture, making the Thai people’s standard of living one of the lowest in the world concerning the physical and mental health.

The optional things that the government should do instead of increasing the Medicare

1. Prohibit the use of herbicide and chemical pesticide [since right now most people (only those who can keep the decently-pure rain water) have no harm-free drinking water].

2. Prohibit the use of dangerous food-preservative substances (i.e. the use of formalin in fresh seafood and vegetables).

3. Control the excessive use of food-additive for enhancing the food taste or color.

4. Abolish or put more restriction on the selling of cigarettes and liquor.
5. Enforcing the safety law concerning transportation. Reduce the use of jet planes.

6. Prohibit the owning of weapons and too sharp and/or point-tipped knives.

7. Persuade the people not to use personal automobiles, the western style suits (in the tropical zone countries - which require a lot of detergent and ironing) or luxury life styles which produce a lot of hazardous-to health-pollution. The heads of the government and the politicians should do the good examples. (I.e. using bicycles instead of large automobiles, etc.)

8. Build enough facilities to destroy or recycle garbage not letting it spill over into the environment and destroying both humans’ and animals’ health. Reduce, discourage or gradually abolish the use of plastic bags.

9. Stop promoting sport contests on TV for the sake of (gambling) business (since people who are addicted to watching sports all the time, no matter for what reason, rarely have time to exercise themselves) or late night on air programs (so the people would not deplete their health by staying late at night).

10. Control the media (include the internet games) not to present the violence of any kind (dramas, brutal sports, politics, and news) to the public, especially to children. For they will be hurt psychologically which will, in turn, ruin their overall health (both mind and body). Moreover, they will, unconsciously, accept those norms of violence and form the tendency to imitate them when they grow up.

11. Should reduce excessive difficulties and competition in the compulsory education (for example, don’t make Chinese the 3rd compulsory language to be learnt since the 7th grade) and in earning the living of a citizen. (One thing that I can think of is to set the upper limit for anyone’s wealth and land.)
Conclusions

1. Health is the most valuable asset of everybody.

2. According to Buddhism, hunger is the biggest sickness.

3. 3 mains factors that cause an illness are the spiritual environment the material environment and the patient him/herself.

4. It is always better to avoid an illness than to cure that illness.

5. Preventive Medicare is usually more suitable and feasible for improving or keeping the public health for most countries which are not very wealthy.

6. We, ourselves, are the most important factor to avoid or cure or be able to endure the illness without distress.

7. Politics usually influentially affects the health of the population both psychologically and physically, both in a short term and in a long term.
"Violence and revenge can only be stopped by patience not by waging wars."

From Sarabhaagga Jataka

"Those who adore 'Soldiers', adore 'Wars'."

Tell me that this is not true!

"Peace is where wars cannot solve the problem.

Forgive is where only peace cannot solve the problem.

Love is where just forgive cannot solve the problem."

"Question: Why have there been wars all along since the beginning of the human history till nowadays even though they have brought only bad results to most of the people?

Answer: Because there are always few people who get benefit from wars and brain wash other people to think that it is always necessary to have soldiers and/or sometime it is a rightful thing to wage a war.

Question: Why can't the people stop these few selfish brain washers?

Answer: Because they are always the rulers of the states or the very wealthy people who receive the most respect and power from the people.

Question: Why are there so many people fallen preys of their propaganda?

Answer: Because most of the people have greed, prejudices and ignorance."

Anonymous

"If we want peace, we have to be prepared for a war. " is one of the most effective propaganda statements that evil rulers who get benefit from military-ism usually successfully persuade their people to support the country’s armed forces. In the real
life, the thing get worse when some powerful countries have used the armed forces to occupy some small countries which had not done any guilt against them and the community of countries in this world still accept what those powerful vulgar countries have done. To make the thing even worst, the history textbooks in many countries praise those aggressive rulers as their national heroes and military-ism as the virtuous thing needed for the establishment of their nations which they have the duty to perpetuate."

Anonymous

“If the king who essentially is the head commander of the armed forces of the country is also the arch patronage of the religion respected by most people in that country, how can we expect that that religion will not be used to support Military-ism?”

Anonymous

“Winning the competition-ism, patriotism and Military-ism are all born together. If anyone shows the sign of having one of these, that person is very likely to have the other 2.”

From what I have been observing

“I am quite sure that anyone who thinks that his/her unique self-awareness is not special from other people’s will never adore military-ism and those who adore military-ism always think that their unique self-awareness is special from other people’s.”

“If the United Nations Organization does not try to abolish patriotism and armed forces in all its members countries how can the world peace will ever be obtained? If the world peace will never be obtained what is the use for having the United Nations Organization after all?”
“Wars exist because armed forces exist. Armed forces exist because soldiers and weapons exist. So it is essential that soldiers and weapons have to be abolished or gotten rid of to guarantee that there will be no more wars. It does not require a genius to figure this out. But why even though this world has been full of geniuses, wars still exist and get more and more destructive?”

“Einstein said that he did not know how humans would fight in the 3rd World War but he knew that humans would fight with sticks and stones in the 4th World War. I think this might be true, not by the reason that humans’ civilization would be completely destroyed by the 3rd World War but by the fact that humans might have learnt about the unavoidable ultimate evil result of adoring patriotism and militarism. But should we be willing to accept this only after the 3rd World War end, instead of now?”

“The only way to stop a war is to never let it happened. The only way to never let it happen is to get rid of all chance for it to happen. The only way to get rid of all chance for a war to happen is to abolish soldiers and get rid of all stock weapons in all countries on this planet.”

Let’s do it now!

The cause of fightings or wars and the way to stop them – Sakkapanhāsutta

Once Sakka, the head of devas in Tavathimsa heaven was going to die because his merit was almost used up. He then saw that the next place that he was going to be born would be in a hell. To reduce his frightful feeling, he went to see the Buddha for the first time. Once he met the Buddha, he began asking questions as follows:

Sakka: Sir! Devas, humans and other animals all wish to avoid quarrels, being punished, having enemies, being hurt, involving in revenges but why do they still quarrel, are punished, have enemies, are hurt and involve in revenges?
Buddha: Even though devas, humans and other animals all wish to avoid quarrels, being punished, having enemies, being hurt, involving in revenges; but they still quarrel, are punished, have enemies, are hurt and involve in revenges because they are still misers and jealous.

Sakka: What is the cause of being misers and jealousy, Sir?

Buddha: Beloved things and non-beloved things is the cause of being misers and jealousy.

Sakka: What is the cause of beloved things and non-beloved things, Sir?

Buddha: Pleasure-to-do/be state of the mind is the cause of beloved things and non-beloved things.

Sakka: What is the cause of the pleasure-to-do/be state of the mind, Sir?

Buddha: Raising an object (topic) to the process of thinking is the cause of the pleasure-to-do/be state of the mind.

Sakka: What is the cause of raising an object (topic) to the process of thinking, Sir?

Buddha: Attachment to different aspects of the memory (from the 5 sensual apprehensions) is the cause of raising an object (topic) to the process of thinking.

Sakka: What should a monk do in order to get rid of the attachment to different aspects of the memory (from the 5 sensual apprehensions)?

Buddha: There are 2 types of pleasure, 2 types of (mental) distress and 2 types of neutral feeling; one is the should-not-be-consumed and the other is the should-be-consumed.

Concerning the pleasure: When one realizes that once he/she consumes a pleasure, unwholesome things will increase while wholesome things will decrease, then that pleasure should not be consumed.

When one realizes that once he/she consumes a pleasure,
unwholesome things will decrease while wholesome things will increase, then that pleasure should be consumed.

The pleasure that is accompanied with raising an object (topic) to the process of thinking and following through the thinking with that same topic is coarser than that without them.

Concerning the distress: When one realizes that once he/she consumes a distress, unwholesome things will increase while wholesome things will decrease, then that distress should not be consumed.

When one realizes that once he/she consumes a distress, unwholesome things will decrease while wholesome things will increase, then that distress should be consumed.

The distress that is accompanied with raising an object (topic) to the process of thinking and following through the thinking with that same topic is coarser than that without them.

Concerning the neutral: When one realizes that once he/she consumes a neutral feeling, unwholesome things will increase while wholesome things will decrease, then that neutral feeling should not be consumed.

When one realizes that once he/she consumes a neutral feeling, unwholesome things will decrease while wholesome things will increase, then that neutral feeling should be consumed.

The neutral feeling that is accompanied with raising an object (topic) to the process of thinking and following through the thinking with that same topic is coarser than that without them.
Once a monk has done all of these things, then he is said to have followed the path to get rid of the attachment to different aspects of the memory (from the 5 sensual apprehensions).

Sakka: That is it, Sir. I do no more doubt about it after I have heard these explanations of yours!

After praising the Buddha’s explanations, Sakka, then, continued asking the following question:

Sakka: How should a monk behave in order to be in accordance with the monk’s rules?

Buddha: There are 2 types of physical intentions, 2 types of verbal intentions and 2 types of seeking; one is the should-not-be-consumed and the other is the should-be-consumed.

For physical intentions: When one realizes that once he/she consumes a physical intention (habitually performed deed) unwholesome things will increase while wholesome things will decrease, then that physical intention should not be consumed.

When one realizes that once he/she consumes a physical intention (habitually performed deed) unwholesome things will decrease while wholesome things will increase, then that physical intention should be consumed.

For verbal intentions: When one realizes that once he/she consumes a verbal intention (habitually performed speech) unwholesome things will increase while wholesome things will decrease, then that verbal intention should not be consumed.

When one realizes that once he/she consumes a verbal intention (habitually performed speech) unwholesome things
will decrease while wholesome things will increase, then that verbal intention should be consumed.

For seeking: When one realizes that once he/she consumes a seeking (i.e. for food, clothing, shelter, medicine, etc.) unwholesome things will increase while wholesome things will decrease, then that seeking should not be consumed.

When one realizes that once he/she consumes a seeking (i.e. for food, clothing, shelter, medicine, etc.) unwholesome things will decrease while wholesome things will increase, then that seeking should be consumed.

A monk who behaves in this way, behaves in accordance with the monk’s rules.

Sakka: That is it, Sir. I do no more doubt about it after I have heard these explanations of yours!

After praising the Buddha’s explanations, Sakka, still, continued asking the following question:

Sakka: How should a monk behave in order to be called that “he controls himself”?

Buddha: There are 2 types of views that seen by the eyes, 2 types of voices that heard by the ears, 2 types of smells that smelt by the nose, 2 types of tastes that tasted by the tongue, 2 types of touching that felt by the body and 2 types of feeling that felt by the mind; one is the should-not-be-consumed and the other is the should-be-consumed.

Sakka: Sir! I know clearly the detail of the summarized statement you have just said, as follows:

When one consumes a view by the eyes, if it makes unwholesome things to increase, wholesome things to decrease then that view should not be consumed.

When one consumes a view by the eyes, if it makes unwholesome things to
decrease, wholesome things to increase then that view should be consumed.

When one consumes a voice by the ears, if it makes unwholesome things to increase, wholesome things to decrease then that voice should not be consumed.

When one consumes a voice by the ears, if it makes unwholesome things to decrease, wholesome things to increase then that voice should be consumed.

When one consumes a smell by the nose, if it makes unwholesome things to increase, wholesome things to decrease then that smell should not be consumed.

When one consumes a smell by the nose, if it makes unwholesome things to decrease, wholesome things to increase then that smell should be consumed.

When one consumes a taste by the tongue, if it makes unwholesome things to increase, wholesome things to decrease then that taste should not be consumed.

When one consumes a taste by the tongue, if it makes unwholesome things to decrease, wholesome things to increase then that taste should be consumed.

When one consumes a touch by the body, if it makes unwholesome things to increase, wholesome things to decrease then that touch should not be consumed.

When one consumes a touch by the body, if it makes unwholesome things to decrease, wholesome things to increase then that touch should be consumed.

When one consumes a feeling by the mind, if it makes unwholesome things to increase, wholesome things to decrease then that feeling should not be consumed.

When one consumes a feeling by the mind, if it makes unwholesome things to decrease, wholesome things to increase then that feeling should be consumed.
I know clearly the detail of the summarized statement you have just told me like this, so I no more have any doubt in this topic.

Sakka, then, praised the answering of questions of the Buddha and asked the Buddha further as follows:

Sakka : Sir, do all monks, ascetics, and priests (actually) have the same teaching, the same rule of conducts, the same liking and the same goal for the ultimate achievement?

Buddha : No, they do not.

Sakka : Sir, why don’t they have the same teaching, the same rule of conducts, the same liking and the same goal for the ultimate achievement?

Buddha : This world has many realities. Different world creatures attach to different realities with the thought “Only this is the reality, anything else other than this is not real.” So monks, ascetics and priests have different teachings, different rules of conducts, different likings and different goals for the ultimate achievement.

Sakka : Sir, do all monks, ascetics, and priests (have the potential to) achieve the spiritual liberation, break all the chains (i.e. of lust, fear, worry and self-delusion, etc.) reach the highest benefit obtainable from practicing chastity?

Buddha : No, not all of them.

Sakka : Why is that?

Buddha : Only those who can get rid of all their desires can achieve the spiritual liberation, break all the chains and reach the highest benefit obtainable from practicing chastity.

Sakka : That is it, Sir. I do no more doubt about it after I have heard these explanations of yours!
Then Sakka self-realized that anything that is born will eventually die. He attained the state of the Stream-enterer (Sotapanna), then died and reborn at once as a young-aged Sakka in the same place in front of the Buddha.

_The story from Sakkapanha Sutta, Mahāvagga, Dhīgha Nikāya_

**Conclusions**

1. Everybody can figure out that wars and military-ism are wrong. However, they are still supported by media and education in many countries due to the fact that the rulers, high-ranked military officers and rich businesspeople in those countries still get benefits from them.

2. The only way to get rid of wars is to make them illegal and control the media and the education not to promote leader idolatry and patriotism. And this has to be done in every country in this world simultaneously.

3. The United Nations Organization should be more active than this to help illegalize wars, armed forces and soldiers in all its member countries.

4. Sharp point-tipped knives should also be illegalized world-wide. (I have to accept that I am a little bit …err… Sharpophobia!)

5. The real causes of all wars besides some master-insectoids who think that their unique self-awareness is special from others’ are also stinginess and jealousy.

6. If every one of us try to get rid of the cause of violence in his/her own mind and the feeling of self-importance then it is possible that we together can make this world free from wars.
7. According to Buddhism we can prevent wars or conflicts to happen by selectively avoid consuming some things that we have realized that they will cause unwholesome things to happen to us (later) and consume only the things that we have realized that they will cause only wholesome things to happen to us (later).

8. Sometimes being interested in morality or spirituality, unexpectedly, brings us out of the danger of death completely.
“To whom should we be more grateful than ‘this Mother Earth’?”
I ask you!

“It is the land, the air, the water, the plantations and all the natural surroundings that is the real God or the real King who have sustained all humans and animals from the beginning, not some spoiled self-deluded idiots.”

“This earth does not belong to humans, but humans belong to this earth. So we all should treat this earth appropriately according to this true relative status.”

“Everything we throw away at the dumping ground, affects the smell of the air in our bedrooms.”

“Many people seem to be indifferent when informed that many animal species have been extinct continually everyday due to the man-made environmental problem, not realizing that we also are one of them who are on the victims waiting-list and keep on acting as the punishment executor.”

Anonymous

‘Respect the Nature.’- the tradition that parents should instill to their children

The tradition of preserving the natural surrounding has been in India and the Far East even before the Buddha’s time. Jainism and Buddhism laid down many regulations that their monks must not abuse the natural surroundings. Monks in these 2 religions are not allowed even take a green leaf from a tree (no need to say about cutting a tree), drink unscreened (Buddhism) or un-boiled (Jainism) water, make a fire, dig the ground (but nowadays, for Buddhist monks, these rules have been often intentionally violated). I
obscurely remembered reading a Japanese tale about a good young man who entered a wood to collect something to make a fire. All he collected were just fallen dried leaves and branches; he avoided picking the ones that still attached to a tree. Another story listened while in my secondary school agriculture class about the Japanese’ respect of nature is as followed. Once upon a time on a very cold day in a winter, a samurai holding a long sharp knife entered a villager’s house. The owner of the house then brought the samurai a cup of hot tea. After drinking the tea the samurai got curious and asked the owner of the house where he had gotten the wood to make a fire since there was snow outside everywhere. The house owner said that he had made the fire from a bonsai. The samurai felt very surprised and asked how many years old that bonsai was. After learning that the bonsai was many hundred years old, he, then, hara-kiri himself with his long sharp knife. (This is another reason why I think long sharp knives should be abandoned!)

There is another Aesop tale that many readers may used to read about a deer that dodged into a thick bush hiding from being chased by a hunter. But then the deer ungratefully ate the leaves of that bush so the bush became so thin that when the hunter coming back he could see the deer and kill it with an arrow. Few people might feel sympathy to the deer in this tale since it used to get benefit from the bush and then forgot it. Nowadays the condition of the natural surroundings is far different (deteriorated) from what it used to be 50 years ago. I think many young people might not appreciate the importance of preserving the natural surrounding not because they are ungrateful but because they have never experienced the virtue of the clean natural environment and the way of life of the old days which produced very little pollution themselves, and many of them might even think that it is impossible (fictitious) to have such condition. So, it is left to be the duty of the old generation who used to experience the virtue of the clean environment and the old way of life to help make the young generation appreciate them by behaving
themselves as the good example for the environmental protection or else the old generation alone that should be blamed as ‘the ungrateful deer’.

**Conclusion**

It is better to ask first then drink than drink first then ask.
CHAPTER 9  GLOBALIZATION – THE FUTURE STATE
OF THE WAR OF THE WORLD

"Even the Buddha or God cannot please everybody. It is not God or religions that keep the world in peace; it is ‘Justice’ that keeps the world in peace. Anyway for the wicked, justice cannot satisfy them; they will stop only when they gain their advantages."

We all know this!

"It is a universal truth that the just, the biased, the no sided, the intelligent, the foolish, the good or the evil are never be able to please all the people in the society. Moreover, usually the consensus does not reflect what is justice or good. The only democratic way to prevent or stop the political chaos or calamity is to respect the previous mutually accepted fundamental rules or traditions as the foothold to start to solve the problem. If someone (whether a worthy person or not) proposes to solve the chaos by using new rules, those rules have to be accepted not by the majority of the people but by all the people in that society (via their representatives) in order to guarantee that the resulted situation will not get worse than using the previous mutually accepted rules. However, many so-called mutually accepted rules (traditions) in some country were written or have been entrenched in time of dictatorial governments (either openly or disguised) so some of them should be called forced-to accepted rules (traditions) instead which should be excluded from the real mutually accepted rules (i.e. the general election)."

Concerning the political situation in Thailand (Dec. 2013)

"Jealousy [between 2(or more) opponents] destroys the world (all people in that society)."

The words of the Buddha
‘Leader Idolatry’ should be no more

“If we do not stop making trouble to or taking advantage of some ones (i.e. stand on their heads, etc.) even though we are conscious of it, how could we expect to receive forgiveness from them or want them to stop grumbling?”

Anonymous

“The leader him/herself gets all the fame and respect (which he/she is not really worthy of) and a lot of benefit from the people.

But the influential supporters of that leader get all the rest of the benefit from that society, so they are the most loyal patrons to ‘the Leader idolatry’ and, most of the time, they can control all the mass media and the education of the youth.

So a country ruled by Leader Idolatry can be described as a country leaded by a spoiled self-deluded person, spiritually supported by modified religions (to support patriotism and military-ism), managed by prejudiced unjust juridical, selfish cold-blooded military and greedy materialistic business people, and resided by mostly pathetical brain-washed population. If that country is still prosperous to some extent is only because it is abusing its natural resources and the spirituality of the people (the most important of which is the non-attachment) which going to be depleted soon.

This planet has always had some countries ruled by Leader idolatry. The good examples before WW2 were Japan and Germany; the good examples now are Thailand and North Korea. I do wish the leaders of these 2 countries realize the seriously dangerous condition their countries (the people and the environment) are in right now. Please be merciful to the people by abolishing this system of leader idolatry. I implore you.”

Anonymous
‘The winner takes all.’ Philosophy should also be no more

I am not interested to be the winner, but I also do not want to be the loser, so what I have been trying to do is to avoid competition as much as possible. Anyway nowadays, it is more and more difficult to avoid competition in earning our livings (One important factor is because the world’s population keeps growing very rapidly). So, even though I have tried to minimize competition, I still have to compete; not for being the winner but just for being able to survive. I usually think about how people in a society can earn their livings with no or little competition (please be careful - it does not mean with no or little work!). This should be the national or even the global policy. The thing that I can think of is not to give too much different reward between the winner and the rest of the competitors (in whatever competition) - every competitor should have some reward that worth his/her time and effort that he/she has spent. Reduce competition in education. Let the students have the compulsory education only for such essential things as being able to understand and communicate by their native language correctly and efficiently, not unnecessary stuff which they will never use in their lives once they have educated and get the jobs. Make a law that set the reasonable upper limit for any one (with no exception) to own or gain wealth and land occupation to guarantee that there will be enough for everyone to receive the reward reasonably according to his/her effort and talent but not less than what he/she needs for a decent survival as a dignified human even though he/she might be in the lowest rank in the competition for survival in that society, and the natural surroundings will be the least spoiled.

The Battle field of the Global War of the World is in everybody’s mind

“The virtue of humans’ learning and effort is to make impossible things possible to happen.”

Anonymous
The trend nowadays is that there will be no more Westerners, no more Easterners. People will no
more be classified by their races, ethnic groups, languages or the places where they live but by their
spirituality, beliefs, behaviors or viewpoints. And there will be no more pure Christians, pure Muslims,
pure Hindus, pure Buddhists, etc. even pure theists or pure atheists, pure believers or pure nonbelievers.
The spirituality of the people will be classified into 2 contrary groups, those who think that their unique
self awareness is special to others’ and those who do not, those who believe in the spiritual salvation and
those who believe in the spiritual liberation, those who adore attachment and those who adore
detachment, those who worship the material and those who worship the spirit, those who are willing to
trade-off their freedom for the sake of their survival and their comfort ability and those who evaluate the
freedom of their spirits and their liberty more valuable than their lives, those who pay little attention to
the preservation of the natural surrounding and those who pay a lot of attention to it. I would like to call
the group of the first characters the insectoid behaviors and the group of the later characters the
humanoid behaviors. Since the time of the recorded history there have been battles between these 2
groups. Anyway for the past 500 years it looks like humans have spiritually and socially evolved to be
more insectoid-like that is the humanoids have been losing ground to the insectoids. To be able to live
an independent life morally in the clean and bountiful natural surrounding seems to be impossible
nowadays. But I think if many humanoids start working together now we can make that condition happen
finally. It might not happen in our generation but in our children’s generation if we keep on getting rid of
any insectoid that relentlessly tries to enter our minds.

“How well one behaves while being a winner usually cannot win more of the people’s
hearts than how well that one behaves while being a loser, except for foolish people who
never pay attention to or appreciate these behaviors.”

Anonymous
A modified story (only the conversation part) of the Buddha

After attaining the enlightenment, the Buddha stayed around the area near the tree under which he had attained the enlightenment for 7 weeks. Then he began walking to a forest near Banares to preach to his former 5 followers who had deserted him when he stopped his extreme ascetic practices. Along the way he met a wandering Brahmin who was impressed by his shining skin and respectful appearance.

The Brahmin: Sir! Your skin is so shining. Are you an ascetic?
The Buddha: No! Friend, I am not an ascetic.
The Brahmin: Are you a human?
The Buddha: No! I am not a human.
The Brahmin: Are you one of the gods?
The Buddha: No! I am not one of the gods.
The Brahmin: Are you the Supreme God?
The Buddha: No! I am not the Supreme God.
The Brahmin: Sir! I ask you all the possible things that you can be, how come do you still refuse all of that?
The Buddha: Friend! I am no more attached to being an ascetic so I am not an ascetic.
I am no more attached to being a human so I am not a human.
I am no more attached to being one of the gods so I am not one of the gods.
I am no more attached to being the Supreme God so I am not the Supreme God.
not the Supreme God.

The Brahmin: It looks like you are really so! Sir, then, what should I call you?

The Buddha: Friend! Call me 'the one who have overcome all attachments*'.

...

(*'Ananta Jina' is the word used in the real text)

So, from this (modified) story, it is our own attachment which determines what we are (insectoid or humanoid!).

Anonymous

“In an ever-continual war, which side stops fighting first is sure to be the loser. But if that one stops fighting because he/she is no more interested in being a winner or a loser, then that person is out of that war.”

“The thing that a dreaming person should do in order to solve all the problems arising in his/her dream is to realize that he/she is dreaming and wake up. By this analogy, in our awaken state, the thing that we should do to solve all the complex personal and social problems is to realize that all of us have been put in delusion since birth by traditions, religions, social norms, compulsory education, unavoidable omnipresent media controlled by a small group of people who are self-deluded, egomaniac but influential and/or wealthy who want to enslave all human souls. So we should detach from all attachment that have been instilled to us since early childhood by these people to solve our problems.”

Anonymous
"Extinguishment (of one’s own desire) is good.

But (to) change (the society) requires energy.

And we cannot get any energy from a mind which has no desire.

That’s why the not-yet-free from evil are still needed to change the society.

But those people who want to change the society should, at the same time, try to get rid of their own lust, passion and the feeling of self-importance.

Or else, instead of helping, they will make the society be in a more evil state."

Anonymous
If Buddhists study this world in other aspects than the Noble Truths, the only point is to survive in this world while being able to detach from it or having their Spiritual Liberation."

Anonymous